REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB,

For the Year ending 30th September

1893

Published by Authority.

Price—Rs. 1-3-0.

Lahore:
THE "CIVIL AND MILITARY GAZETTE" PRESS.
Contractors to the Punjab Government.

1894.

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB,

For the Year ending 30th September

1893.

Published by Authority.

Lahore:
THE "CIVIL AND MILITARY GAZETTE" PRESS,
Contractors to the Punjab Government.
1894.

SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Proceedings of the Hon'ble the Lieutenant-Governor of the Punjab in the Department of Revenue and Agriculture (General), No. 276 S., dated the 29th June 1894.

READ—

The Report on the Administration of Estates under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year ending 30th September 1893.

REMARKS.—This is the first separate report on the Administration of Estates under the Court of Wards in the Punjab, the subject having been previously treated in the Land Revenue Report of the Province. The present Report deals with 64 estates with a total income of Rs. 15,83,452. Of these 6 have an income exceeding Rs. 50,000 per annum. The income of 15 others is between Rs. 50,000 and Rs. 10,000; of 12 others between Rs. 10,000 and Rs. 5,000; of 23 between Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 1,000; and of 5 between Rs. 1,000 and Rs. 500. The income of 2 estates is less than Rs. 500 per annum, while that of 1 estate is not specified in the report. As regards the size of estates, as well as in other respects, the Lieutenant-Governor is inclined to think that there is a tendency to exercise the power of taking charge of an estate a little too freely.

2. The Lieutenant-Governor agrees with Mr. Ogilvie's remarks in the 2nd paragraph of the Report, that the statements appended to the Report might in some cases be usefully revised, and proposals for their improvement may be submitted. In this connection Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick wishes the Financial Commissioner to consider whether it would not be possible to substitute for columns 10 and 11 in Statement III columns comparing the land revenue assessed on land cultivated by tenants with the rents due, and also the rents realized from them on account of the year under report, arrears realized and advance collections made being shown separately. The figures would furnish an indication of the portion of the rental of the land which Government is actually absorbing by its land revenue assessments. Remarks such as those made in the 18th paragraph of the Report might perhaps be more conveniently put into one of the tabular statements.

3. The cost of management seems inordinately large in the four large estates mentioned in paragraph 8. One would expect the percentage of management charges in a large estate to be lower than in a small one, and Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick would like to see a detailed statement for each estate. To compare the cost of management with the income of the particular year, including debts recovered and such like, as is done in the last column of Statement IV, is somewhat misleading, as the actual income from year to year may vary widely owing to a variety of causes. The comparison should be rather with the estimated annually recurring income. The Financial Commissioner should consider this point in connection with the revision of the present forms alluded to in the last paragraph.

4. The conditions on which the loans referred to in the 10th paragraph of the Report were made seem to have been duly carried out. As regards the subject of investments discussed in parapraph 11, the Lieutenant-Governor agrees with the Financial Commissioner that the greatest caution and the closest supervision from superior authority are requisite in cases where it is proposed to make a loan from one Court of Wards' estate to another, and this is a point on which Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick has repeatedly insisted. In such cases the Court of Wards owes "a divided duty," and the position is one of great delicacy. The general principle which the Lieutenant-Governor understands the Financial Commissioner to lay down seems to him the correct one, *viz.*, that the interests of the estate from which it is proposed to make the loan constitute the paramount point for consideration; for, if the security which the borrowing estate has to offer is really sound, there ought, as a rule, to be no difficulty in obtaining money for it from a bank or money-lender.

5. The injunction in the Financial Commissioner's 13th paragraph to exercise great caution in the matter of expending the money of wards on horse-breeding and other similar operations tending to promote the public good is one to which it is most necessary for officers to attend. As already intimated, the paramount duty of the Court of Wards is to manage the estate for the benefit of the ward. This does not of course mean that the management is to be conducted on such niggardly principles as to allow of no improvement being made which would not directly increase the ward's income. Such improvements as a good landlord would, in the ordinary course of things, be expected to make for the benefit of the tenants and residents of the estate, may properly be undertaken by the Court of Wards ; and, if the estate is a very large one and one on which the zamíndárs are much given to keeping horses, it may be a very proper thing for the Court of Wards to purchase a few stallions for their use, or even to undertake horse-breeding on a small scale if it is not likely to entail any loss worth speaking of. But anything beyond this, such as the starting of horse-breeding operations on a large scale with a view to the improvement of the stock in the Province generally, or the undertaking of extensive agricultural experiments with a view to the development of the science of agriculture generally, would be altogether unjustifiable. These are works which it is in the highest degree creditable to a great landlord to undertake ; but the guardian of a minor has no more right to undertake them on behalf of his ward than he would have to spend large sums of the ward's money in founding an orphanage or a leper asylum. It only remains to say that His Honor agrees with the Financial Commissioner that in regard to the matters here in question the wishes of the ward should be consulted when he is approaching his majority ; and it may be added that the taste and habits of the previous owners of the estate may reasonably be considered. If, *e.g.*, the previous owners of the estate were in the habit of breeding horses and selling them, that would be to a certain extent an argument for continuing the practice.

6. In conclusion Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick wishes to thank Mr. Ogilvie for the attention he has given to this not unimportant branch of revenue administration.

ORDER.—Ordered, that the foregoing Remarks be printed and circulated with the Report ; also that they be published in the *Punjab Gazette*, forwarded to the Financial Commissioners, and be submitted to the Government of India in the Revenue and Agricultural Department with the prescribed number of copies of the Report.

By order of the Hon'ble the Lieutenant-Governor,

J. M. DOUIE,

Offg. Revenue Secretary to Government, Punjab.

Punjab Government Press, Lahore—21-7-94—220.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

No. 247

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

Dated Lahore, the 29th March 1894.

FROM

PUNDIT HARI KISHEN KAUL, M.A.,

Offg. Junior Secretary to Financial Commissioners, Punjab,

To

J. M. DOUIE, ESQUIRE, C. S.,

Offg. Revenue Secretary to Government, Punjab.

SIR,

I am directed to submit the Annual Report on the Administration of Estates under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year ending 30th September 1893. This is the first special Provincial Report on this subject.

I.—PRELIMINARY REMARKS.

Statements.

2. Statement No. I is a list of all estates under the jurisdiction of the Court on the 30th September 1893, arranged under Divisions and Districts. The form also contains details regarding guardians, the date of assumption of management, the ages of minors, and the extent of the landed property of wards. The letters in brackets after the names of estates in column 4 of this form refer to the clauses of Section 35 of the Punjab Laws Act on which the jurisdiction of the Court is in each case based. The remaining statements contain details regarding—

Assets and liabilities (Statement II).

Income (Statement III).

Expenditure (Statement IV).

Liquidation of debts (Statement V.)

The statistics of estates which were released from the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards during the year under report are not included in these statements. To have included them would have caused much obscurity and confusion. But detailed notices of these estates will be found in this report. The Financial Commissioner thinks that the forms of these statements might with advantage undergo some revision; but as they have received the sanction of Government they have been used without alteration for the purpose of the present report.

Law regulating the Court of Wards in the Punjab.

3. As this is the first Provincial Report on this subject, it may not be out of place to note, that the law, under which the Court of Wards in the Punjab is constituted, is contained in Sections 35, 36, 37 and 38 of the Punjab Laws Act (IV of 1872), as amended by Act XII 1878, and in the rules made under Section 38 of the Act. The orders which have from time to time been issued in connection with the subject are collected and arranged in Revenue Circular No. 57.

Main heads of the report.

4. The Financial Commissioner proposes to treat the subject under the following main heads:—

1. General review of the operations of the Court of Wards during the year under report.

2. Review of financial administration of estates under the heads of Income, Expenditure, Liquidation of debts, and Investments.

3. Review of the general management under the heads of Improvements, Education, and other subjects requiring notice.

4. Separate notices of estates released, estates taken under jurisdiction, and other estates requiring special notice.

II.—GENERAL REVIEW OF OPERATIONS.

Number of estates.

5. In the Revenue Administration Report for 1891-92, para. 33, the number of estates under the Court of Wards was by an oversight, stated to be 64; the correct number was 65. During the year under report 5 estates were brought under the jurisdiction of the Court, and 6 were released; the total number of estates under jurisdiction on the 30th September 1893, was accordingly 64, as shown in Statement I.

Classification of Estates.

6. The following is a classification of these 64 estates in reference to the clauses of Section 35 of the Punjab Laws Act :—

	No. of estates.
(*a*).—Females deemed by the Local Government incompetent to manage their estates	1
(*b*).—Minors	46
(*c*).—Idiots	1
(*d*).—Lunatics	none.
(*e*).—Persons declared by the Local Government incapable owing to physical defects or infirmities of managing their own estates ...	2
(*f*).—Persons convicted of a non-bailable offence and unfitted in the opinion of the Local Government by vice or bad character to manage their estates	none.
(*g*).—Persons declared by the Local Government on their own application to be unfitted to manage their estates	14
Total ...	64

III.—FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES.

Income of Estates.

7. Statement III shows the details of the income of estates under management at the close of the year under report. The jágír income amounted to Rs. 3,35,925. There was a considerable increase in the Mamdot estate, which was due to revision of assessment. The income from lands, the property of wards, was as follows :—

	Rs.
Rental of revenue-paying lands, total paid in cash and kind, (columns 10 and 11 of Statement III)	3,64,974
Rental of revenue-free lands, (columns 12 and 11 of Statement III)	34,559

Owing to the low price of grain there was a decrease in the value of the rents paid in kind. In some places also, and especially in the Kálra estate in the Shahpur district, heavy losses were caused by floods.

The total income from Government securities was Rs. 18,518. The largest quantities of securities are held by the estates of Kunjpura, Kálra and Sitpur. So far as possible other investments are sought for, because experience has shown that large accumulations of money in easily convertible securities are liable to be dissipated by wards on their coming of age. With regard to the income derived from sales of property, (columns 15 and 16, Statement III), the Financial Commissioner observes that such sales are generally made with the objects, either of re-investing the money, or of paying off pressing debts. Thus in the estate of Sayad Hamid Shah, in the Mooltan district, property to the total value of Rs. 4,16,000 was sold. This estate belongs to class (*g*), and is under management for the purpose of clearing it from heavy debts and encumbrances.

Expenditure of Estates.

8. For the proper comprehension of Statement IV in its present form it is necessary in some cases, to refer to Statements II and V which furnish a key to its figures. This is especially necessary in the case of estates which are administered on the application of owners, and which come under class (*g*).

Cost of management.

The total cost of management amounted to 9·5 per cent. of the total annual income. It was large in the following estates:—

	Rs.
Kunjpura (Karnál)	7,513
Manauli (Umballa)	9,581
Sardár Partáb Singh (Jullundur)	8,942
Kalra (Shahpur)	16,944

These are all large and important estates. In Mamdot which ranks almost as a minor Native State, the cost of management was Rs. 24,782. In the other estates the cost of management does not appear to have been remarkable or excessive in any case.

Other expenditure.

Miscellaneous expenditure (column 14, Statement IV) which includes all expenditure not shown in the other columns of the statement was large in the estates above mentioned. As an example of the items included under this head in the statement, the following detail of miscellaneous expenditure in Mamdot is given, (excluding fractions of rupees):—

	Rs.
Allowances to relations of the Nawáb	18,932
Repairs to buildings	7,936
Medicines for Jalálábad Dispensary	1,018
Marriage expenses of Nawáb's cousin	6,148
Law expenses	3,240
Cost of copying jamabandís	1,316
Funeral expenses of members of family ...	3,045
Sundries	10,466
Education of Nawáb's cousin	1,543
Total ...	53,644

The personal and educational expenses of wards do not require any particular explanation, but the Financial Commissioner observes that some Deputy Commissioners have included items of extraordinary expenditure under the head of Personal Expenses.

Liquidation of debts.

9. A reference to Statement V will show the magnitude of the operations which are carried on in the way of freeing from their liabilities estates which are heavily involved in debt.

The total sum of Rs. 6,64,470 was expended in this manner during the year under report. More than two-thirds of the whole sum was paid off on account of one estate, that of Hamíd Shah, in Mooltan. The total debts of all estates shown in these statements, amounted to Rs. 21,71,041, at the time when their management was assumed by the Court of Wards; and this total debt has now been reduced to Rs. 9,40,658. Although in some estates it has been absolutely necessary to sell a considerable quantity of the landed property, yet these sales have been effected on terms far more favourable to the involved estates than could possibly have been obtained by the owners. The debts of the Sága estate (Karnál), the Bijapur estate (Kángra), and the Hasan Balel estate (Jhang), were completely paid off during the year. The Rámgarh estate in Umballa, which was released from jurisdiction during the year, was also freed from debt. The circumstances of the Farukhnagar estate (Gurgáon) were of peculiar difficulty, and a loan to this estate has lately been granted by Government. The Financial Commissioner thinks that all the District Officers concerned, deserve great credit for their successful management of the estates under their charge in this most important matter.

10. A Government loan of Rs. 15,000 was granted to the Bhabaur estate in Hoshiárpur in the year 1889, and of this sum Rs. 12,100 were outstanding at the close of the year. A loan of Rs. 15,000 was granted to Nawáb Ata Muhammad Khan, Khakwáni, of Dera Ismail Khan, in the year 1889, and a sum of Rs. 8,450 was also at that time owing by him to Government on account of a previous loan. Thus the total amount of the Nawáb's debt was Rs. 23,450 ; and no part of the principal of this loan has yet been repayed. The income of the Nawáb's jágír in Dera Ismail Khan is administered by the Deputy Commissioner ; and as the more pressing debts have now been paid, that officer thinks that the repayment of the Government loan will probably be effected within the next four years.

State loans.

11. Columns 11, 12 and 13 of Statement IV show sums invested in purchase of Government securities, of land and houses, and in loans (on mortgages or otherwise). In recent years it has been the practice of the Court of Wards to sanction loans advanced on mortgage to considerable amounts, between estates under its jurisdiction. Certain old and distinguished families whose estates were under the jurisdiction of the Court, especially in the Umballa district, have been saved from ruin by these proceedings. But the matter has another side. In all cases where the interests of a minor are concerned, those interests must be the first consideration, and there is some ground for apprehension that in some cases which have occurred in recent years this principle may not have been kept sufficiently in view. The Financial Commissioner has fully considered this subject and has arrived at the following conclusions. Sound mortgages on lands are legitimate investments for money. But as a general rule mortgages are good investments for the money of a minor only when they are accompanied by actual possession of the mortgaged lands ; for, mortgages without possession are apt to be troublesome investments, and to involve their holders in litigation. If a ward on coming of age were to find himself placed in the position of a holder of mortgages without possession, which could only be foreclosed by means of troublesome litigation, or still worse, which for special reasons could not be foreclosed at all, he would have a just right to complain of the management of his estate during his minority. Doubtless under certain circumstances exceptions may be made to the rule that mortgages should not be taken except with possession, as for example when a ward is very young and there is therefore ample time for the principal and interest of the loan to be realized or the mortgage foreclosed before he attains his majority. Or, again, exceptions may be made when the amount is small, or when there are special advantages to be gained for the minor or his family from making the loan. But in all cases the points to be kept in view are, that the investment should be a sound one, and that the minor should not be placed in a difficult position when he comes of age.

Investments.

IV.—GENERAL MANAGEMENT.

12. The details of expenditure on improvements will be found in column 10 of Statement IV. The total sum expended was Rs. 1,51,461. In the following estates the expenditure on improvements was considerable :—

Improvements.

	Rs.
Kunjpúra	12,048
Manauli	9,216
Burea	5,038
Kálra	33,311
Mamdot	73,440

The expenditure in Mamdot includes Rs. 54,000 for repairs and clearance of canals. Twenty-three new wells were constructed in this estate, and arboricultural operations on a systematic plan have been undertaken. In Kunjpúra the family Mansion has been repaired ; and the construction of a new residence for the ward at Indri, has been sanctioned. In the Kálra estate, Rs. 8,400 were expended on the developement of a new estate purchased for the ward on the Chenáb Canal. Minor improvements, wherever possible, have generally been effected on other estates.

Takávi advances.

Advances to tenants for agricultural purposes have been made largely by the estates of Kálra (Shahpur) and Muhammad Khaliqdád Khan (Montgomery). The amounts outstanding at the end of the year were Rs. 16,270 and Rs. 15,080 respectively (column 8, Statement II).

Horse-breeding and Stud Farms.

13. The subject of Horse-breeding and Stud Farms requires special mention. In Mamdot much attention is rightly given to these undertakings; and the estate owing to its size and wealth is an excellent field for such operations. There is an annual horse fair held at Jallálabad; and that part of the country has a reputation for producing good stock. The late Nawáb was fond of horses; and there is a large demand both for the purposes of the estate itself, and also in the local market. A model stud farm has been instituted which is being carefully worked, and promises to be an entire success. The Manager, Rái Maya Dás, deserves special credit.

In the large estates of Kunjpúra (Karnál) and Kálra (Shahpur) Horse-breeding operations are carried on under the able and efficient supervision of the Deputy Commissioners of those districts, with a considerable amount of success. The Financial Commissioner, however thinks that in some estates there has been a tendency to embark an undue amount of capital in these enterprises. A good deal must depend on the personal characters of wards; and when it is evident that the ward on coming of age will not take interest in such undertakings money should not be thrown away upon them. It is a matter of exceeding difficulty to make stud farms and horse-breeding establishments pay their own expenses; and except under exceptionally favourable circumstances, such as exist in Mamdot, it seems hopeless to make them profitable.

The Janesron stud farm in the Kunjpúra estate was inspected by the Financial Commissioner in November last. A revised scheme for its future management is now being prepared by the present Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Agnew. The expenditure on this undertaking in past years has perhaps been larger than was strictly justifiable. It is also understood that the ward is not likely to interest himself in it when he comes of age. On the other hand the Deputy Commissioner of Shahpur, Mr. Wilson, reports that in the Kálra estate the ward "Malik Umar Hyat Khan takes a great interest in Horse-breeding, and will probably maintain the reputation of his stable, which is now one of the best in the Province."

The Financial Commissioner thinks that when wards are approaching the time of their majority, attention must be paid to their personal wishes in such matters. On the general question whether such enterprises are justifiable in the interests of wards, there is something to be said on both sides. The development of Horse-breeding, and the formation of Stud farms, are matters of great public importance, and as such they ought to be undertaken by all great landlords. But excessive expenditure on enterprises, which however laudable in themselves, give no adequate return for the capital invested, is not justifiable in the interests of minors, and is not a desirable example for other great landlords to follow. Each case requires to be judged on its own merits; and special attention is now directed to the subject in those estates where studs, and stud farms exist.

Education of Wards.

14. The following is a list of the wards who were educated in the Aitchison College during the year under report:—

Name of district.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.
Karnál	Kunjpúra...	Nawáb Ibrahim Ali Khan.
Do.	Do.	Ahsanullah Khan.
Do.	Do.	Muhammad Yusaf Khan.
Do.	Labkári	Mangal Singh.
Do.	Do.	Sher Singh.
Do.	Do.	Khazan Singh.
Umballa	Kandhola	S. Harbans Singh.
Do.	Do.	S. Bhola Singh.
Do.	Ráipur	Ráo Baldeo Singh.
Do.	Shahabád...	S. Shib Naráin Singh.
Jullundur	Estate of S. Partap Singh and Kunwar Daljit Singh.	Kunwar Daljit Singh.
Ferozepore ...	Buttar	Sodhi Balwant Singh.
Do. ...	Dharmsinghwála... ...	S. Bhanga Singh.
Mooltan	Estate of Sayad Hamid Shah, &c.	S. Faiz Bakhsh.
Do.	Do. do. ...	Zain-ul-Abidin.
Jhang	Shah Jeona	Saleh Shah.
Do.	Do.	Raje Shah.
Montgomery ...	Estate of Khaliq Dád Khan, &c.	Khaliq Dád Khan.
Do. ...	Do. do. ...	Muhammad Yusaf Khan.
Lahore	Estate of Ghulám Mohay-ud-din.	Ghulám Mohy-ud-dín.
Umritsar	Atári	S. Balwant Singh.
Do.	Do.	S. Kulwant Singh.
Do.	Do.	S. Harbans Singh.
Do.	Do.	S. Jaswant Singh.
Do.	Do.	S. Basant Singh.
Do.	Majitha	S. Pritam Singh.
Gurdáspur... ...	Fatehgarh	Diwán Nand Lál.
Siálkot	Kalálwála	Raghbir Singh.
Shahpur	Kálra	Umar Hayat Khan, Malik.
Ráwalpindi ...	Makhad	Sher Muhammad Khan.
Pesháwar	Bír	Sultan Muhammad Khan.
Muzaffargarh ...	Sitpur	Makhdum Muhammad Husain.

Bhai Arjan Singh of Bagrián, the Guru of Kartárpur and Munawar Shah of Karuli are taught at their homes by private tutors. The other minor wards are educated at the local schools.

It may also be mentioned that S. Sampuran Singh of Saga (aged 10 years) lives with his aunt at Bareli (North-Western Provinces) and is educated under the superintendence of the Collector of that district.

Other matters.

15. No litigation of special importance took place during the year In all 94 cases were instituted by the Court of Wards, of which 91 were successful and 3 were pending at the close of the year. The relations between landlords and tenants were generally satisfactory. There are, no doubt, many other points connected with the general management of estates which will find a place in future reports, but there appears to be nothing of sufficient importance to require special notice on the present occasion.

V.—SEPARATE NOTICES OF ESTATES.

Estates released.

16. The following statement shows the estates released during the year :—

Name of estate.	District.	Clause of Section 35 of Act IV of 1872, under which the Court held charge.	Reason for release.
1. Mustafábad	Umballa ...	Minor, clause (*b*) ...	Death of ward.
2. Rámgarh	Do. ...	Do. ...	Majority.
3. Estate of Thakar Singh, &c. ...	Do. ...	Declared unfit on their own application, clause (*g*).	Refusal of the ward to abide by the arrangements proposed by the Court.
4. Garhshankar	Hoshiárpur ...	Idiot, clause (*c*) ...	Death of ward.
5. Estate of Tika of Anandpur ...	Do. ...	Minor, clause (*b*) ...	Majority.
6. Estate of the late Rái Múl Singh.	Gujránwála ...	Do. ...	Do.

It may be mentioned here that S. Jiwan Singh of Búria died on the 11th of September last, but his estate continues to be managed by the Court of Wards, pending a decision in regard to the right of an adopted heir.

VI.—MUSTAFÁBÁD.

Sardár Naina Singh of Mustafábád owned a half share in the jágír of Mustafábád. On the 5th May 1880 he was, on his father's death, brought under the Court of Wards, being then about 3 years of age. The income of the jágír was Rs. 2,912-8 per annum, namely—

	Rs.	a.
Jágír	2,668	0
Biswadári	244	8

and the estate was not encumbered. When the estate was taken over, only maintenance charges of the minor and his mother and step-mother had to be paid.

The ward died on the 27th March 1893, at the Aitchison College.

During the management of the Court of Wards Rs. 3,936 were invested in the purchase of landed property, and a plot of land was taken on mortgage with possession for Rs. 300.

On the ward's death, his proprietary land and his jágír passed to his uncle Sardár Tilok Singh ; and a maintenance was fixed for the widow and his two other female relations. All accounts have been settled.

Ramgarh Estate, Umballa District.

This estate belongs to Sardárs Shamsher Singh and Guláb Singh. The income consists of a jágír of Rs. 4,502 and rental from 1,894 acres of land which amounts to about Rs. 300. The estate was taken under the charge of the Court, on the death of Sardár Ram Singh, father of the wards in July 1888, and was released in May 1893 on the wards attaining their majority. At the time of the assumption of management the estate was indebted to the extent of about Rs. 8,000. The whole of this debt was liquidated during the Court's management and the estate had no liabilities when released. No improvements worthy of the name could be effected, as all the savings had to be utilized in repayment of debts. The younger ward Sardár Guláb Singh was educated at the Aitchison Chiefs' College and the elder Sardár Shamsher Singh at the Municipal Board School of Umballa.

Estate of Thakar Singh, &c., of Umballa City.

Thakar Singh, Mangal Singh and Mussammat Kishan Kaur were, on their own application declared unfit to manage their estate by Punjab Government Notification dated 21st March 1892, and the estate was taken under the management of the Court of Wards, under clause (*g*), Section 35 of Act IV of 1872. It consists of 1,152 bighás of land, 40 shops and 2 family mansions, and is very heavily involved in debt. The liabilities of the estate were on examination found to amount to Rs. 25,000. But the late Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Gladstone arranged to liquidate the whole debt for Rs. 17,000, and as the only means of paying this sum off he proposed to sell one of the houses for Rs. 12,800. The wards however objected to this, and the proposal had to be given up. The income of the estate was very small (total income for the six months of the year of report during which it was under management, amounting to Rs. 520 againts an expenditure of Rs. 731), and it was impossible to clear the debts from the current income. It was, therefore considered useless to retain the estate under the management of the Court unless the wards consented to some such measure as proposed by Mr. Gladstone. It was accordingly released on the 29th of April 1893.

This is an example of an application under clause (*g*), which should not have been accepted.

Estate of Niámat Ali Khan of Garshankar (Hoshiárpur).

Niámat Ali was originally under the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards as a minor. He was an idiot; and the estate remained under management after he attained his majority, up to the time of his death in December 1892. The landed property was made over to the widow who has a life interest in the estate. The personal property is reported to be at present the subject of litigation between the heirs of the deceased.

Estate of Tika Rám Narain Singh (Hoshiárpur).

Tika Rám Narain Singh belongs to the Sodhi family of Anandpur. He attained his majority towards the end of the year 1892. He had been educated in the Hoshiárpur Municipal Board School. The total annual income of the estate is reported to be not less than Rs. 6,000. The estate was in a thoroughly solvent condition when released, and made over to the charge of the late ward.

Estate of Rái Mul Singh (Gujránwála).

Rái Mul Singh died in 1882, leaving two minor heirs :—

(1). Bhagwán Dás, an adopted son of a deceased son of Rái Mul Singh, aged 15, and

(2). Rám Dás, a younger son of his own, aged 11 years.

Rái Mul Singh left a will in which Rám Dás was designated as heir to the jágirs, and provision was made for shares in the succession to the real and personal property. The estate was joint, and was held under the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards, until the younger ward Rám Dás attained his majority in 1893. It was released from jurisdiction on the 1st of June 1893. The estate was perfectly solvent, and had flourished under the Court of Wards' management. The assets of the estate had risen from about Rs. 3,15,000 to Rs. 4,16,000 ; and the annual income from about Rs. 15,000 to about 25,000. The surplus funds had to a large extent been invested in Government securities. This was a somewhat unsatisfactory feature of the mamagement, as it has placed large sums in easily convertible securities in the hands of the two young men ; but it is explained that great difficulty was experienced in finding other suitable investments. A division of the property between the heirs and legatees under the will is now going on.

Estates taken over.

17. The following estates were taken under the management of the Court of Wards during the year :—

Name of estate.	District.	Clause of Section 35 of Act IV of 1872, under which taken over.
1. Dhandálru	Amballa	Declared unfit on his own application, clause (*g*).
2. Budh Rájbána	Jhang	Minor, clause (*b*).
3. Jaiwan	Do.	Do.
4. Estate of Muhammad Kabír Khan ...	Do.	Declared unfit on his own application, clause (*g*).
5. Sáúwál	Jhelum	Minor, clause (*b*).

The jurisdiction of the Court of Wards in the Hariána estate (district Hoshiárpur) which was managed under clause (*b*) of Section 35 of Act IV of 1872, terminated at the death of the minor ward in September 1892. His mother Mussammat Méro, however, who has a life interest in the estaté applied to be declared unfit to manage it. This request was granted by Government and Notification No. 495, dated the 30th June 1893 was issued to this effect. The estate is now managed under clause (*g*).

Dhandálru Estate, Umballa District.

The estate comprising of a jágír of Rs. 2,245 ; 263 acres of land and one house, belongs to Mián Amar Singh of Dhandálru. The ward, aged 27 years, was declared unfit to manage his estate on his own application by Punjab Government Notification No. 552, dated 26th September 1892, under clause (*g*) of Section 35 of Act IV of 1872. The annual income of the estate is estimated at Rs. 2,400. Liabilities of the estate formerly calculated at Rs. 8,619 have been compounded for Rs 6,414, excluding a debt of Rs. 4,500, for which the creditor has obtained a decree of over Rs. 5,000. Rs. 1,000 have already been paid towards the satisfaction of the compounded debt. It is expected that a sum of Rs. 1,000 or 1,200 will be saved every year for payment towards the debts, and it is hoped that the estate will be freed of all encumbrances in a period of about 10 years.

Budh Rájbána Estate, Jhang District.

This estate consisting of 2,696 acres of land situated in 7 villages in the Shorkot tahsíl is owned by Mapal, minor grandson (aged 13) of the late Meher Dad Sial, an influential landowner and zaildár and Mussammat Núr Bibi and Sáhib Bibi widows of Meher Dad's brother. The two last named wards were declared unfit to manage their estate by Punjab Government Notification No. 61, dated 17th January 1893, and their share was brought under the management of the Court under the provisions of clause (*a*) of Section 35, (Act IV of 1872). Management was assumed by the Court in March 1893. The estimated income of the estate is Rs. 12,038 and the demand for land revenue and cesses Rs. 1,654. Liabilities of the estate at the assumption of management amounted to Rs. 5,086. The estate will probably be soon cleared from debt. The minor ward receives education at the Maghiána School under the supervision of the Deputy Commissioner.

Jaiwán Estate, Jhang District.

The estate is owned in equal shares by Muhammad Jamal, (aged 14), son of the late Kutab Shah, and Muhammad Shah, (aged 5), son of the late Durgahi Shah. A younger brother of the latter who was also living at the time when the estate came under the charge of the Court has since died. The estate consists of 7 houses and 2,862 acres of land in four villages and was taken under management in June last. Its annual income is estimated at Rs. 8,414, while the Government demand for land revenue and cesses is Rs. 985 per annum. Total debts of the estate are not more than Rs. 2,093, while its assets at present amount to Rs. 1,082. There is thus a slight deficit of Rs. 1,011 only. The elder ward has been put under the charge of a private teacher in his own village.

Estate of Muhammad, Kabír Khan, Jhang District.

Muhammad Kabír Khan, the proprietor of this estate was at his own request declared unfit to manage his estate by Punjab Government Notification No. 552, dated 22nd July last, and management of the estate was assumed in August 1893, under clause (*g*) of Section 35. It consists of 4,483 acres of land, 5 houses and a jágír of Rs. 2,000 per annum. Total annual income of the estate is estimated at Rs. 18,489, of which Rs. 2,321 are payable for land revenue, &c. The estate is heavily in debt, total liabilities amounting to as much as Rs. 30,067.

Sáúwal, District Jhelum.

This small estate, consisting of 418 acres of land, partly máfi, belongs to Allah Dín, (aged 13), son, and Ghulám Muhammad, (aged one year), grandson of the late Chaudhari Muhammad Bakhsh, lambardár of Sáúwál. As the estate was being mismanaged by the widow, the Deputy Commissioner took it under the management of the Court. The estate is free from debt.

Remarks on the administration of Estates by Divisions and Districts.

18. In the Delhi Division 17 estates were under the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards at the close of the year under report.

Hissár.—The two estates in this district are under management on the application of the owners, and belong to class *(g)*.

Gurgáon.—The Furrukhnagar estate in this district is an important one. Mr. Drummond, the Deputy Commissioner has submitted a full report on the present condition of this estate, which will be a great assistance to the Financial Commissioner in dealing with this case hereafter. The Deputy Commissioner has given much attention to the management.

Karnál.—In this district there were three estates under jurisdiction at the close of the year. The Kunjpúra estate is one of the most important in the Province. Mr. Agnew, the present Deputy Commissioner is managing this estate very efficiently, and pays great attention to the stud farm, for which work he is well qualified. Mr. Agnew writes that the condition of the estate may be described as most flourishing.

Umballa.—There were 11 estates under jurisdiction in this district at the close of the year, which is by far the largest number contained in any one district of the Province. Of these 11 estates, 7 belong to minors, and 4 are managed on the application of the owners and come under class (*g*). These estates are nearly all more or less important; and the management of some of them is peculiarly difficult owing to heavy indebtedness and to other embarrassments. The present Deputy Commissioner, Captain Parsons, deserves credit for his efficient management. Rái Dharm Narain, who is the official Manager under the Deputy Commissioner's supervision, is very highly praised by both the Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner. Captain Parsons has submitted a report containing some suggestions which will receive due attention.

Jullundur Division.

In the Jullundur Division there were 13 estates under the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards at the close of the year under report. The Commissioner, Mr. Smyth, has submitted a comprehensive report on all the estates in his Division.

Kángra.

In this district there were 3 estates under jurisdiction, none of which is important.

Hoshiárpur.

In this district also there were 3 estates under jurisdiction at the close of the year under report. Two others were released during the year. The Bhabhaur estate, which comes under class (*g*), is heavily encumberered with debt. The Káthgarh estate is a large one, and is owned by three minors, the eldest of whom is aged only seven years.

Jullundur.—Two estates are shown as under jurisdiction in this district at the close of the year. There was a third estate, belonging to class (*g*), which is not included because it is doubtful whether it can be administered or not. The question is now under consideration.

The estate of Sardár Partáb Singh and Kanwar Daljit Singh is situated principally in Oudh (Sitapur and Rái Bareli districts), partly in Lahore, and a part in Jullundur. The elder ward is of age, but the estate continues to be administered for himself and his younger brother at his own request. The estate was at first heavily encumbered, and is still in debt; but there is a góod prospect of its being freed from liabilities.

Ludhiána.—There were two estates under jurisdiction in this district. The circumstances of the Bagrián estate are peculiar, and its management is embarrassed by a heavy debt.

Ferozepore.—There were three estates under jurisdiction, all belonging to minors. The Mamdot estate is the most important estate in the Province. It is managed by a specially appointed officer, Rái Maya Dás, under the general superintendence of the Deputy Commissioner. The Financial Commissioner knows Rái Maya Dás to be an excellent officer, bearing a high character. The Commissioner of Jullundur, Mr. Smith, has furnished a comprehensive account of the management of the Mamdot estate, during the year, which is printed as an Appendix to this report.

Lahore Division.

There were 14 estates under jurisdiction in the Lahore Division at the close of the year under report.

Mooltan.—Two estates were under jurisdiction. The estate of Sayad Hamid Sháh (and others) is a remarkable instance of a deeply involved estate, which is being cleared from its liabilities by the Court of Wards. The business appears to have been well managed by the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Meredith, with the assistance of Pandit Janki Parshád, Tahsíldár.

Jhang.—The five estates in this district do not need remark. The management of the Deputy Commissioner, Kázi Muhammad Aslam, C.M.G., has been good.

Montgomery.—One estate was under management in this district. The Deputy Commissioner states that its condition is flourishing; there are no debts, and the balance in hand is steadily accumulating.

Lahore.—The two estates under management in this district are unimportant. The management is satisfactory.

Amritsar.—There were 3 estates under jurisdiction of the Court of Wards at the close of the year. The Deputy Commissioner, Colonel Lang, describes the condition of the Atári estate, and that of Mián Ghulám Sadíq, as flourishing. The Majitha estate is still in debt, but only to a moderate amount.

Gurdáspur.—The one estate under the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards in this district is financially in a satisfactory position.

Ráwalpindi Division.

In the Ráwalpindi Division there were 11 estates under the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards at the close of the year under report.

Siálkot.—One estate. The Commissioner of Ráwalpindi remarks that the estate is small, its affairs have been well managed, and it is now free from debt.

Gujránwála.—One estate under management at the close of the year, and one, that of Rái Mul Singh, released during the year. The estate of Sardár Mangal Singh is still encumbered by debt.

Shahpur.—Four estates under jurisdiction at the close of the year. The Kálra estate is one of the most important in the Province. It is a wealthy estate; and the proprietor Malik Umar Hyat Khan is a promising young man. He is now 18 years of age. The Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Wilson, deserves credit for his management of this and the other estates under his charge. The other 3 estates do not require particular mention.

Jhelum.—There were 4 estates under jurisdiction of the Court of Wards in this district at the close of the year. None of them are particularly important.

Ráwalpindi.—One estate. The Makhud estate is large and important. The Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Beckett, has furnished a full and valuable report on the estate. It is in a flourishing condition; and the Deputy Commissioner's management has been efficient. There was a considerable increase in the income in the year under report, due however, partly to compensation received under the Land Acquisition Act. The Commissioner remarks that the state of the ward's property is highly satisfactory.

Pesháwar Division.

Four estates were under the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards in the Pesháwar Division at the close of the year under report.

Hazára.—Two estates. These are small estates and are free from debt.

Pesháwar.—Two estates. Shád Muhammad Khan, the owner of the Mardán estate, is mentally imbecile. The estate is encumbered, but is being gradually freed from liabilities. The Shabkadr estate, in which there are five minor wards, is in the same position. The wards are at school in Pesháwar.

Deraját Division.

There were 5 estates under the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards in the Deraját Division at the close of the year under report.

Dera Ismail Khan.—Two estates. The estate of Nawáb Atta Muhammad Khan consists of the income of his jágir, which after setting apart a sum for the Nawab's maintenance, is devoted to the payment of his debts under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner.

The estate of Makdum Abdul Sitar Shah consists of jágir, and income derived from offerings at a shrine of which the ward is the hereditary custodian.

Dera Ghází Khan.—Two estates. The estates are separate, but are situated in the same village. These estates are of no particular importance. A full report has been submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, which will be useful for reference.

Muzaffargarh.—One estate. The estate of Makdum Muhammad Hussan, is an important one. Its financial position is quite satisfactory.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

HARI KISHAN KAUL,

Offg. Junior Secy. to Finl. Commr., Punjab.

Extract from Commissioner, Jullundur's Report on the Administration of Court of Wards Estates, during the year ending 30th September 1893.

* * * * * * * *

A separate report by Rái Maya Dás, the Manager of the estate of the Nawáb of Mamdot, is appended to the District Report in regard to this estate. It is full and complete, but contains perhaps a certain amount of unnecessary general detail. The estate when taken under the management of the Court in January 1891 was in debt to the extent of over four lakhs of rupees, of which the amount liquidated is reported to be Rs. 2,44,418. Hundís to the value of nearly Rs. 80,000 were not honoured for want of proof, and the fact of their not bearing the full signature of the late Nawáb Nizám-ud-Din Khan. The largest legitimate debt due by the late Nawáb was that to His Highness the Rája of Faridkot, to whom the Nawáb had mortgaged several of his proprietary villages for Rs. 3,00,000. Less than one lakh is now due to the Rája, and there is every reason to hope that this will be paid within the next two years. The income of the year under report was Rs. 3,36,357 and the expenditure (including Government revenue and cesses Rs. 89,962

Government rate	„ 2,664
Repayment of principal and interest on debt	„ 50,270
Purchase of landed property and houses	„ 25,505
Expenditure on works and improvements	„ 73,440

and adding cost of management, miscellaneous charges, &c.,) amounted to Rs. 3,26,507. The income from proprietary villages leased amounted to Rs. 1,20,400. These villages were leased by the late Nawáb for Rs. 84,835. The leases fell in 1892, and new leases for a period of seven years were then granted at a considerable enhancement. Of the Rs. 1,24,400 all but Rs. 6,782 were, it is reported, realized within the year. The balance too is expected to be realized. The income of the estate from water-rate charged by the Nawáb was estimated at Rs. 88,805. The actual amount realized was Rs. 73,295, but the balance is also expected to be soon recovered. The stud and farm at Jalalábad are apparently very well managed. The Deputy Commissioner observes that he was much pleased with what he saw of their actual working in October last. I need not touch on the numerous other matters reported on by the Manager. The management appears to be in excellent hands and to be very satisfactorily conducted by Rái Maya Dás and his Assistant Muhammad Usman Khan. The young Nawáb is only 4 years of age.

STATEMENT No. I.

ESTATES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT OF WARDS ON THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1893.

STATEMENT No. I.

ESTATES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT OF WARDS ON THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1893.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Name of Division.	Name of District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate and of its proprietor or the ward.	Particulars concerning guardian, &c.	Management when assumed.	Minor's age on 30th September.		Total area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Cultivated area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Remarks.
						Years.	Months.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	
Delhi ...	Hissár ...	1	Estate of Kamar-ud-dín Khan, (g).	.....	1st April 1886 ...	...	...	281	3,933	184	2,672	
		2	Estate of Rukun-ud-dín Khan, (g).	.....	Ditto ...	...	...	...	10,861	...	7,786	
				Total Hissár District ...	...	...	...	281	14,794	184	10,458	
	Gurgáon ...	3	FAREUKHNAGAR AND SIWARI— Mufti Muhammad Suráj-ud-dín Haidar Khan. (g).	.....	1st September 1886	...	...	6,561	27	3,471	27	
				Total Gurgáon District ...	...	...	...	6,561	27	3,471	27	
	Karnál ...	4	KUNJPURA— Nawáb Ibráhím Ali Khan (b).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	15th March 1886...	14	2	...	19,624	...	11,239	
		5	LABKARI— Mán Singh	Mán Singh is under guardianship of his uncle Sodhi Gajandar Singh, and Sher Singh and Khazán Singh under the guardianship of their mother Sardárni Partáb Kaur.	11th November 1890.	16	0	...	119	...	77	
			Sher Singh, and			17	0					
			Khazán Singh (b).			12	0					
		6	SAGA— Sardár Sampúran Singh ... (b).	Sardárni Chatar Kaur, the ward's mother, is guardian.	7th June 1890 ...	10	0	...	399	...	215	
				Total Karnál District ...	...	...	...	...	20,142	...	11,531	

	Umballa ...	7	MANAULI— Sardár Autar Singh (b). ...	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	23rd January 1878	20	9	...	3,452	...	1,512
		8	KANDHAULA— Sardár Harbans Singh (b). ...	His Highness the Rája of Faridkot is guardian.	10th January 1880	15	11	..	366	...	125
		9	SHAHABAD— Sardár Shib Naráin Singh (b).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	27th September 1880.	16	7	...	836	...	413
		10	JHARAULI— Sardár Gajindar Singh (b). ...	Ditto ditto ...	25th September 1883.	20	9	...	64	...	63
		11	KANDHAULA— Sardár Bhola Singh (b). ...	Sardár Shibdeo Singh, maternal uncle of the ward is guardian.	29th October 1889,	17	8	...	372	...	111
		12	RAIPUR— Rai Baldeo Singh, and ... Kanwar Jaideo Singh (b). ...	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	7th April 1890...	17 20	1 0	103	4,680	98	2,892
		13	BURIA— Sardár Jíwan Singh (g). ...	Ditto	27th June 1890 ...	...	...	37	4,028	36	11,716
		14	PABHAT— Kanwar Basant Singh and ... Gowardhan Singh (b). ...	Rája of Nálagarh is guardian	28th March 1891...	13 7	7 9	...	3,997	...	832
		15	SARDAHERI— Sardár Bachittar Singh (g)	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	8th September 1891	...	...	...	77	...	62
		16	MAHMUDPUR— Sardár Hardat Singh (g). ...	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	8th September 1891.	...	...	...	90	...	90
		17	DHANDALRU— Mian Amar Singh (g). ...	Ditto ditto ...	October 1892 ...	...	...	...	263	...	120
				Total Umballa District ...	...	...	...	140	18,225	134	17,936
				Total Delhi Division ...	...	...	...	6,982	53,188	3,789	39,952
Jullundur ...	Kángra ...	18	BALDHAR— Níru (e).	Chandan is guardian ...	16th January 1884	...	...	...	12	...	9
		19	LAMBAGRAON— Mián Daljit Chand (e). ...	Jagrúp Chand is guardian ...	4th April 1888 ...	...	...	...	5,244	...	1,180
		20	BIJAPUR— Mián Devi Chand (b). ...	Hem Chand is guardian ...	June 1887 ...	12	1	...	1,818	...	1,075
				Total Kángra District ...	...	...	...	...	7,074	...	2,264

STATEMENT No. I.—*continued.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Name of Division.	Name of District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate and of its proprietor or the ward.	Particulars concerning guardian, &c.	Management when assumed.	Minor's age on 30th September.		Total area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Cultivated area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres). *		Remarks.
						Years.	Months.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	
Jullundur—*contd.*	Hoshiárpur ...	21	Hariana— Mussammát Míro, widow of Imám-ud-dín. (*g*).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian, and Tahsíldár of Hoshiárpur is manager.	26th February 1884	...	...	...	143	...	123	
		22	Bhabhaur— Rái Hira Chand (*g*).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	9th December 1886.	...	...	...	1,994	...	449	
		23	Katgarh— Sardár Narindar Singh ... Sardár Mohindar Singh ... Sardár Gajindar Singh (*b*)...	Deputy Commissioner is guardian, Iláhi Bakhsh is a paid manager for the whole Estate. Sardár Gurdiál Singh, Divisional Judge, is guardian of the person of the eldest son and for the other two their maternal grandfather Sardár Shib Deo Singh of Ladhorán.	10th September 1891.	7 5 1	1 9 6	...	1,449	...	1,076	
				Total Hoshiárpur District ...	...	...	...	...	3,586	...	1,648	
	Jullundur ...	24	Estate of Sardár Partáb Singh,* and Kanwar Daljít Singh (*b*).	Pandit Durga Parshád is manager of the Estate and guardian of Kanwar Daljít Singh.	1st September 1887.	22 13	7 9	...	19,210	...	12,909	* At the request at of Sardár Partáb Singh it has been arranged the whole undivided estate should continue under the management of the court till the younger ward comes of age.
		25	Estate of Guru Nau Nihál Singh of Kartárpur. (*b*).	Sáwan Mal is manager and guardian.	13th July 1882 ...	11	11	114	11,857	108	9,848	
				Total Jullundur District ...	...	...	...	114	31,067	108	22,757	

	Ludhiána ...	26	BAGARIAN— Bhai Arjan Singh (b). ...	Harcharn Singh is manager and Mussammát Hukum Kaur, widow of Bhai Naráin Singh, deceased, is guardian.	8th July 1889 ...	19	0	244	4,329	27	4,055
		27	Estate of Mussammát Premi, widow of Bishambar Das, Banker (a).		13th December 1887.	...	...	...	238	...	230
				Total Ludhiána District ...	...	...	...	244	4,567	27	4,285
	Ferozepore ...	28	MANDOT— Nawáb Ghulám Qutab-ud-dín Khan (b).	Rai Maya Dás, Extra Assistant Commissioner, is manager.	21st January 1891	4	0	...	53,610	...	47,438
		29	BUTTAR— Sodhi Balwant Singh ... ... and Sodhi Ranjít Singh (b). ...	Sodhi Khazán Singh, uncle of the wards is manager.	28th November 1890.	16 12	10 0	217	3,493	175	3,029
		30	DHARM SINGHWALA— Sardár Bhanga Singh (b). ...	Sardár Kishen Singh is manager.	26th June 1891 ...	12	9	2,142	613	1,654	563
				Total Ferozepore District ...	...	...	...	2,359	57,716	1,829	51,030
				Total Jullundur Division ...	...	...	...	2,717	104,010	1,964	81,984
Lahore	Mooltan ...	31	KABIRPUR— Faiz Bakhsh ... ... and Zain-ul-abdín Shah (b). ...	Mullah Nabi Bakhsh and Khan Muhammad, uncles of the wards, are the guardians.	19th May 1884...	15 13	0 0	...	1,178	...	393
		32	Estate of Sayad Hamíd Shah and Fateh Shah ... ... and * Mussammát Doulat Bíbi (g).	Deputy Commissioner is the manager, and Sayad Riáz Hussain is assistant manager.	19th May 1891	... ... * Died on 5th November 1892.	... ...	...	11,467	...	3,789
				Total Mooltan District ...	...	...	...	...	12,645	...	4,182

STATEMENT No. I—*continued.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Name of Division.	Name of District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate and of its proprietor or the ward.	Particulars concerning guardian, &c.	Management when assumed.	Minor's age on 30th September.		Total area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Cultivated area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Remarks.
						Years.	Months.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	
Lahore—*concld.*	Jhang ...	33	Shah Jiona— Saleh Shah, ... and Raji Shah (*b*).	Chaudhri Núr Ahmad, Member of the Municipal Committee, is guardian.	April 1889 ...	14 12	1 1	...	10,128	...	2,639	
		34	Hassu Balel— Nazr Hussain (*b*).	Mussammát Nurai, the ward's mother, is guardian.	April 1892 ...	3	11	23	5,941	...	1,952	
		35	Badh Rajbana— Mapal (*b*).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	March 1893 ...	13	0.	...	2,696	...	1,000	With this is also managed the interest in the Estate of Mussammáts Núr Bíbi and Sáhib Bíbi under Section 35 (*a*) of Act IV of 1872, who were declared incompetent, *vide Punjab Gazette* Notification No. 61, dated 17th January 1893.
		36	Jaiwan— 1. Mahdu Jumál ... 2. Muhammad Shah ... 3. Bahawal Bakhsh (since dead) (*b*).	Mahdu Jumál is under the guardianship of the Deputy Commissioner and Muhammad Shah of his mother.	June 1893 ...	14 5 Dead	3 3	...	2,862	...	882	
		37	Estate of Muhammad Kabír Khan, Siál (*g*).	.....	August 1893 ...	...	...	1,621	2,862	343	1,322	
				Total Jhang District ...	...	...	...	1,644	24,489	343	7,795	

	Montgomery ...	38	Estate of Muhammad Khâliq Dád Khan. Muhammad Yásín Khan ... and Muhammad Azím Khan (b). ...	Muhammad Khâliq Dád Khan and Muhammad Yásín Khan are at the Aitchison College, Lahore, and Muhammad Azím Khan lives with his guardian Muhammad Amín Khan.	16th Decr. 1884 ...	15 10 9	6 6 4	2,749	1,090	1,774	419
				Total Montgomery District ...	...	...	...	2,749	1,090	1,774	419
	Lahore	39	Estate of Anant Rám (b). ...	Mussammát Málan, mother of the ward, is the guardian.	15th June 1891 ...	4	4	...	579	...	328
		40	Estate of Ghulám Mohy-ud-dín (b).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	November 1883 ...	19	...	...	178	...	177
				Total Lahore District ...	...	...	...	...	757	...	505
	Amritsar ...	41	ATARI— 1. Sardár Balwant Singh ... 2. „ Harbans Singh ... 3. „ Basant Singh ... 4. „ Kalwant Singh ... 5. „ Jaswant Singh (b).	Sardár Umráo Singh of Majítha is guardian.	2nd August 1888...	18 15 10 16 15	0 9 11 0 8	251	763	224	708
		42	Estate of Mián Ghulám Sadiq (b).	Mián Asadullah, Pleader, is guardian.	8th October 1889...	21	0	...	216	...	216
		43	MAJITHA— 1. Sardár Prítam Singh ... 2. „ Pargat Singh (b).	Sardár Arúr Singh is guardian.	15th August 1891	15 11	11 0	...	860	...	581
				Total Amritsar District ...	...	...	...	251	1,839	224	1,505
	Gurdáspur ...	44	FATEHGARH— Diwán Nand Lál (b).	Naráin Dás is manager and guardian.	November 1884 ...	16	1	...	555	...	440
				Total Gurdáspur District ...	...	...	...	...	555	...	440
				Total Lahore Division ...	...	...	...	4,644	41,375	2,341	14,846
Ráwalpindi ...	Siálkot ...	45	KALOLWALA (INCLUDING AMRITSAR PORTION)— Sardár Ragbír Singh (b). ...	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	7th April 1887 ...	19	7	1	662	1	584
				Total Siálkot District ...	...	...	...	1	662	1	584
	Gujránwála ...	46	Estate of Sardár Mangal Singh (b).	Pandit Amar Náth is manager and Sardár Jai Singh is guardian.	November 1888 ..	7	7	40	8,061	38	3,392
				Total Gujránwála District ...	...	...	...	40	8,061	38	3,392

6707

STATEMENT No. I—*continued.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Name of Division.	Name of District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate and of its proprietor or the ward.	Particulars concerning guardian, &c.	Management when assumed.	Minor's age on 30th September.		Total area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Cultivated area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Remarks.
						Years.	Months.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	
Ráwalpindi—*concluded* ...	Shahpur ...	47	KALRA— Malik Umar Hayát Khan (*b*)....	...	March 1879 ...	18	11	...	15,495	...	11,266	
		48	MITHA TIWANA— 1. Malik Dost Muhammad Khan 2. Ghulám Jiláni (*b*).	...	1st October 1890	5 4	11 9	...	7,170	...	2,114	
		49	UCHHALI ESTATE— Malik Allayár Khan (*g*). ...	...	May 1888 ...	...	...	...	592	...	506	
		50	KATHA— 1. Aríb Khan ... ... 2. Sardár Ali ... ... 3. Sher Muhammad (*g*). ...	...	5th October 1887	...	...	...	271	...	271	
				Total Shahpur District ...	...	...	...	...	23,528	...	14,157	
	Jhelum ...	51	GHUGH— 1. Muhammad Khan ... 2. Mehr Khan (*b*).	The mother and grand-mother of the boys are guardians. The boys are being educated in their village.	1st April 1889 ..	12 10	1 1	...	3.923	...	1,625	
		52	DALWAL— Abdulla Khan (*g*).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	1st November 1889	...	...	6	205	6	172	
		53	KARAULI— Manawar Shah (*b*).	Fazal Shah and the boy's mother are guardians. A teacher has been appointed to educate the boy.	April 1891 ...	20	0	...	3,913	...	1,754	
		54	SÁÚWAL— Allah Dín ... ... and Ghulám Muhammad (*b*). ...	Kádir, Bakhsh uncle of the wards, is guardian.	1st September 1893.	13 1	0 4	214	204	211	147	
				Total Jhelum District ...	...	...	...	220	8,245	217	3,698	

	Ráwalpindi ...	55	MAKHAD— Sardár Sher Muhammad Khan (b).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	1st December 1890	16	9	85	25,104	79	3,194	
				Total Ráwalpindi District ...	...	..	...	85	25,104	79	3,194	
				Total Ráwalpindi Division	...	...	...	346	65,600	335	25,025	
Peshá̄war ...	Hazára ...	56	BIR— Sultán Muhammad Khan (b) ...	Umar Shah was guardian, but the ward has now taken service as Jamadár in the 9th B. L. after receiving education in the Aitchison College, Lahore.	March 1875 ...	21	3	2,692	1,912	198	536	A report has been made to have this Estate released from the management of the Court of Wards.
		57	BANDA PIR KHAN— Sumandar Khan (b)	Mussammát Khánamji, mother of the ward, is guardian.	1st July 1886 ...	15	0	..	2,980	...	1,156	
				Total Hazára District ...	...	...	...	2,692	4,892	198	1,692	
	Peshá̄war ...	58	MARDAN— Shád Muhammad Khan (c) ...	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	April 1882 ...	...	...	1,095	12,714	1,074	11,650	
		59	SHABKADR— 1. Nasarullah Khan ... 2. Fazl Rahmán Khan ... 3. Ghulám Haidar Khan ... 4. Yákúb Khan ... ... 5. Sher Bahádar Khan (b) ...	Ditto ditto ...	17th March 1889...	12 / 11 / 10 / 9 / 8	0 / 0 / 0 / 0 / 0	...	2,924	...	593	
				Total Peshá̄war District ...	...	...	...	1,095	15.638	1,074	12.243	
				Total Peshá̄war Division ...	...	...	...	3,787	20,530	1,272	13,935	
Deraját ...	D. I. Khan ...	60	Estate of Nawáb Ata Muhammad Khan (g).	.....	6th November 1888	...	...	...	...	...	...	No landed property of the ward is under Government management.
		61	Estate of Makhdúm Abdul Sattár Shah (b).	Hot Khan is guardian ...	22nd November 1884.	20	0	...	...	...	...	Ditto.
				Total D. I. Khan District ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	D. G. Khan ...	62	BASTI MALANA— 1. Abdullah ... ... 2. Faizullah (b)	Mother of the wards is guardian.	11th April 1889 ...	7 / 5	0 / 0	...	999	...	399	
		63	BASTI MALANA— Khairan (b)	Mother of the ward is guardian.	26th November 1889.	14	0	...	816	...	114	
				Total D. G. Khan District ...	...	...	...	...	1,815	...	513	

STATEMENT No. I—*concluded.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Name of Division.	Name of District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate and of its proprietor or the ward.	Particulars concerning guardian, &c.	Management when assumed.	Minor's age on 30th September.		Total area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Cultivated area of lands held in proprietary right (in acres).		Remarks.
						Years.	Months.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	Revenue free.	Paying revenue.	
Deraját—*concld.*	Muzaffargarh ...	64	Sitpur (including Dera Ghazi Khan and Bahawalpur portions— Makhdúm Sheikh Muhammad Hussan (*b*).	Deputy Commissioner is guardian.	28th October 1881.	16	3	2	23,500	...	4,463	
				Total Muzaffargarh District ...	...	...	...	2	23,500	...	4,463	
				Total Deraját Division ...	...	...	...	2	25,315	...	4,976	
				ABSTRACT.								
				Delhi Division		...	...	6,982	53,188	3,789	39,952	
				Jullundur Division		...	...	2,717	104,010	1,964	81,984	
				Lahore Division		...	...	4,644	41,375	2,341	14,846	
				Ráwalpindi Division		...	...	346	65,600	335	25,025	
				Pesháwar Division		...	...	3,787	20,530	1,272	13,935	
				Deraját Division		...	...	2	25,315	...	4,976	
				Grand Total		...	...	18,478	310,018	9,701	180,718	

* In column 4 after the name of the Estate should be added in brackets one of the letters (*a*) to (*g*), so as to show under which sub-section of Section 35 of Act IV of 1872 the Estate comes.

STATEMENT No. II.

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE ESTATE ON THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1893, EXCLUSIVE OF THE VALUE OF LANDED PROPERTY, STOCK AND HOUSES.

STATEMENT No. II.

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE ESTATES ON THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1893, EXCLUSIVE OF THE VALUE OF LANDED PROPERTY, STOCK AND HOUSES.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
				Assets.							Liabilities.				Balance.	
Division.	District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate.	Amount held in Government securities.	Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Takávi advances.	Recoverable arrears of rents, &c.	Cash balance in hand at the close of the year.	Total.	Government Loans.	Court of Wards' Loans.	Other debts.	Total.	Surplus.	Deficit.
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Delhi	Hissár ...	1	Estate of Kamar-ud-dín Khan.	...	...	...	...	155	43	198	...	..	7,803	7,803	...	7,605
		2	Estate of Rukn-ud-dín Khan.	...	...	...	...	372	1,989	2,361	...	...	18,582	18,582	...	16,221
			Total Hissár District...	...	...	...	...	527	2,032	2,559	...	...	26,385	26,385	...	23,826
	Gurgáon ...	3	Furrukhnagar and Siwári	..	...	...	...	766	153	919	...	...	40,697	40,697	...	39,778
	Karnál ...	4	Kunjpura	50,000	17,640	2,160	...	6,681	30,221	1,06,702	...	...	...	...	1,06,702	...
		5	Labkari	...	...	...	...	...	76	76	...	...	5,826	5,826	...	5,750
		6	Saga	...	...	...	...	...	2,699	2,699	...	...	...	...	2,699	...
			Total Karnál District ...	50,000	17,640	2,160	...	6,681	32,996	1,09,477	...	...	5,826	5,826	1,09,401	5,750
	Umballa ...	7	Manauli	...	2,30,070	20,200	...	4,054	2,328	2,56,652	...	...	...	...	2,56,652	...
		8	Kandhaula (Estate of Sardár Harbans Singh).	...	5,690	7,500	...	104	6,672	19,966	...	...	...	...	19,966	...
		9	Shahabad	...	10,737	69	...	4	1,052	11,862	...	...	...	...	11,862	...
		10	Jharauli	...	...	...	...	...	130	130	...	...	5,445	5,445	...	5,315
		11	Kandhaula (Estate of Sardár Bhola Singh).	...	...	...	...	1	1,694	1,695	...	1,452	1,300	2,752	...	1,057

		12	Raipur	...	...	...	...	420	905	1,325	...	...	9 740	9,740	..	8,415
		13	Buria	...	...	...	...	2,582	7,104	9,686	...	1,70,000	10,631	1,80,631	...	1,70,945
		14	Pabhat	...	...	...	...	..	226	226	...	37,000	5,299	42,299	...	42,073
		15	Sardheri	...	...	...	...	...	273	273	...	...	6,825	6,825	...	6,552
		16	Mahmúdpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,775	...	3,775	...	3,775
		17	Dhandalru	...	...	...	...	...	458	458	...	...	5,414	5,414	...	4,956
			Total Umballa District,	...	2,46,497	27,769	...	7,165	20,842	3,02,273	...	2,12.227	44,654	2,56,881	2,88,480	2,43,088
			Total Delhi Division ...	50,000	2,64,137	29,929	...	15,139	56,023	4,15,228	...	2,12,227	1,17,562	3,29,789	3,97,881	3,12.442
JULLUNDUR ...	Kángra ...	18	Baldhar	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	523	523	...	523
		19	Lambagráon	...	...	...	...	389	256	645	..	...	13,185	13,185	...	12,540
		20	Bijapur	500	210	...	...	...	1,931	2,641	..	...	...	...	2,641	...
			Total Kángra District...	500	210	...	...	389	2,187	3,286	...	...	13,708	13,708	2,641	13,063
	Hoshiárpur ...	21	Harisha	...	...	...	...	56	497	553	...	...	...	...	553	...
		22	Bhabhaur	...	...	...	...	9	1,284	1,293	12,100	...	80.354	92,454	...	91,161
		23	Kathgarh	...	8,126	373	...	674	6,200	15,373	...	...	...	...	15,373	...
			Total Hoshiárpur District	...	8,126	373	...	739	7,981	17,219	12,100	...	80,354	92,454	15,926	91,161
	Jullundur ...	24	Estate of Sardár Partáp Singh and Kanwar Daljít Singh.	...	7,898	...	2,046	31,501	17,579	59,024	...	...	69,013	69,013	...	9,989
		25	Estate of Guru Nau Nihál Singh of Kartárpur.	..	...	...	10	5,284	50 159	55,453	...	...	...	...	55.453	...
			Total Jullundur District	...	7,898	...	2,056	36,785	67,738	1,14,477	...	...	69,013	69,013	55,453	9,989
	Ludhiána ...	26	Bagarián ...	...	1,300	300	...	400	4,342	6,342	...	...	20,000	20,000	..	13,658
		27	Estate of Mussammát Premi, widow of Beshambar Dás, Banker.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,454	5,454	...	5,454
			Total Ludhiána District	...	1,300	300	...	400	4,342	6,342	...	...	25 454	25,454	...	19,112

STATEMENT No. II—*continued.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
				Assets.							Liabilities				Balance.	
Division.	District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate.	Amount held in Government securities.	Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Takáví advances.	Recoverable arrears of rents, &c.	Cash balance in hand at the close of the year.	Total.	Government Loans.	Court of Wards' Loans.	Other debts.	Total.	Surplus.	Deficit.
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Jullundur—*concluded.*	Ferozepore ..	28	Mamdot ...	...	5,468	2,053	100	34,426	9,851	51,898	...	...	1,21,000	1,21,000	...	69,102
		29	Buttár ...	...	...	...	...	...	2,654	2,654	...	...	...	..	2,654	...
		30	Dharm Singhwála ...	...	...	...	...	...	997	997	...	10,000	1,600	11,600	...	10,603
			Total Ferozepore District	.	5,468	2 053	100	34,426	13,502	55,549	..	10,000	1,22,600	1,32,600	2,654	79,705
			Total Jullundur Division	.500	23,002	2,726	2.156	72,739	95,750	1,96,873	12,100	10,000	3,11,129	3 33,229	76,674	2,13,030
Lahore ...	Mooltan ...	31	Kabírpur	...	...	...	...	...	5,188	5,188	...	...	...	...	5,188	...
		32	Estate of Sayads Hamíd Shah, Fateh, Shah and Mussammát Daulat Bibi	...	...	...	...	...	24,059	24,059	...	...	61,290	61,290	...	37,231
			Total Mooltan District	...	...	...	...	...	29,247	29,247	...	...	61,290	61,290	5,188	37,231
	Jhang ...	33	Shahjíona	4,500	..	...	486	361	834	6,181	...	...	200	200	5,981	...
		34	Hassu Balel	...	...	519	1,940	...	6,329	8,788	...	...	...	...	8,788	...
		35	Badh Rájbana	...	...	154	...	...	...	154	60	3	4,996	5,059	...	4,905
		36	Jaiwan	...	...	...	600	...	482	1,082	50	...	2,043	2,093	...	1,011
		37	Estate of Muhammad Kabír Khan, Siál.	...	...	...	...	84	658	742	209	...	29,858	30,067	...	29,325
			Total Jhang District ...	4,500	...	673	3,026	445	8,303	16,947	319	3	37,097	37,419	14,769	35,241

	Montgomery ...	38	Estate of Muhammad Kháliqdád Khan, Muhammad Yásín Khan and Muhammad Azím Khan.	...	...	...	15,080	...	17,621	32,701	...	...	...	...	32,701	...
	Lahore ...	39	Estate of Anant Rám ...	...	4,362	1,174	...	550	4,224	10,310	...	...	...	...	10,310	...
		40	Estate of Ghulám Mohy-ud-din.	6,000	...	...	...	...	1,376	7,376	...	...	...	...	7,376	...
			Total Lahore District ...	6,000	4,362	1,174	..	550	5,600	17,686	...	...	...	...	17,686	...
	Amritsar ...	41	Atári	...	27,068	587	...	5,654	7,854	41,163	...	...	...	...	41,163	...
		42	Estate of Ghulám Sadík	24,000	15,100	...	...	1,599	8,022	48,721	...	...	...	..	48,721	...
		43	Majítha ...	...	...	...	...	93	77	170	...	...	2,250	2,250	...	2,080
			Total Amritsar District	24,000	42,168	587	...	7,346	15 953	90,054	...	...	2,250	2,250	89,884	2,080
	Gurdáspur ...	44	Fatehgarh ...	...	16,990	1,677	...	87	6,658	25,412	...	...	...	...	25 412	...
			Total Lahore Division...	34 500	63,520	4,111	18,106	8,428	83,382	2,12,047	319	3	1,00,637	1,00,959	1,85,640	74,552
RAWALPINDI ...	Siálkot ...	45	Kalolwála ...	...	...	...	...	1,739	2,800	4,539	...	...	...	...	4 539	...
	Gujránwála ...	46	Estate of Sardár Mangal Singh.	...	1,635	...	...	7,667	1,465	10,767	...	...	7.236	7,236	3,531	...
	Shahpur ...	47	Kalra ...	3,64,000	2,65.159	...	16,270	...	...	6,45,429	..	...	...	...	6,45,429	...
		48	Mitha Tiwána ...	...	150	9,687	2,310	5,445	2,049	19,641	...	24,710	...	24,710	...	5,069
		49	Uchháli	...	...	...	...	...	144	144	...	8,286	...	8.286	...	8,142
		50	Kátha	...	500	...	...	...	51	551	...	6,737	...	6,737	...	6,186
			Total Shahpur District	3,64,000	2,65,809	9,687	18,580	5,445	2,244	6,65,765	...	39,733	...	39,733	6,45,429	19,397
	Jhelum ...	51	Ghugh	...	..	...	...	...	2,461	2,461	...	15,000	1,293	16,293	...	13,832
		52	Dalwal	...	...	...	...	...	680	680	...	11,000	...	11.000	...	10,320
		53	Karauli	..	...	...	...	...	1,071	1,071	500	31,977	...	32 477	...	31,406
		54	Sáúwal	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
			Total Jhelum District ..	...	...	...	...	...	4,212	4,212	500	57977	1,293	59.770	...	55,558

STATEMENT No. II—*concluded.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
				Assets.							Liabilities.				Balance.	
Division.	District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate.	Amount held in Government securities.	Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Takávi advances.	Recoverable arrears of rents, &c.	Cash balance in hand at the close of the year.	Total.	Government Loans.	Court of Wards' Loans.	Other debts.	Total.	Surplus.	Deficit.
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rawalpindi—*concluded.*	Ráwalpindi ...	55	Makhad	...	...	68	...	1,084	10,719	11,871	...	...	15	15	11,856	...
			Total Ráwalpindi Divn.	3,64,000	2,67,414	9,755	18,580	15,935	21,440	6,97,154	500	97,710	8,554	1,06,754	6,65,355	74,955
Peshawar ...	Hazára ...	56	Bir	...	13,749	...	...	...	8,929	22,678	...	...	...	...	22,678	...
		57	Banda Pír Khan ...	2,400	...	...	..	...	954	3,354	...	...	...	...	3,354	...
			Total Hazára District	2,400	13,749	...	...	...	9,883	26,032	...	...	...	...	26,032	...
	Pesháwar ...	58	Mardán	...	...	3,289	...	...	2,258	5,547	...	...	1,206	1,206	4,341	...
		59	Shabkadr	...	...	...	...	...	498	498	...	...	7,719	7,719	...	7,221
			Total Pesháwar District	...	...	3,289	...	...	2,756	6,045	...	...	8,925	8,925	4,341	7,221
			Total Pesháwar Division	2,400	13,749	3,289	...	...	12,639	32,077	...	...	8,925	8,925	30,373	7,221
Derajat ...	D. I. Khan ...	60	Estate of Nawáb Ata Muhammad Khan.	...	...	...	...	610	5,990	6,600	23,450	...	4,030	27,480	...	20,880
		61	Estate of Makhdúm Abdul Sattár Shah.	...	...	...	...	...	446	446	...	...	10,353	10,353	...	9,907
			Total D. I. Khan District	...	...	...	...	610	6,436	7,046	23,450	...	14,383	37,833	...	30,787

D. G. Khan ...	62	Basti Malána (Estate of Abdulla and Faizulla).	...	...	...	...	...	530	530	266	...	5,192	5,458	...	4,928
	63	Basti Malána (Estate of Khairan).	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	17,709	17,709	...	17,708
		Total D. G. Khan District	...	...	...	...	...	531	531	266	...	22,901	23,167	...	22,636
Muzaffargarh ...	64	Sítpur	18,000	...	460	...	4,809	8,116	31,385	...	...	...	...	31,385	...
		Total Deraját Division	18,000	...	460	...	5,419	15,063	38,962	23,716	...	37,284	61,000	31,385	53,423

ABSTRACT.

Delhi Division...	...	50,000	2,64,137	29,929	...	15,139	56,023	4,15,228	...	3,12,227	1,17,562	3,29,789	3,97,881	3,12,442
Jullundur Division.	...	500	23,002	2,726	2,156	72,739	95,750	1,96,873	12,100	10,000	3,11,129	3,33,229	76,674	2,13,030
Lahore Division	...	34,500	63,520	4,111	18,106	8.428	83,382	2,12,047	319	3	1,00,637	1,00,959	1,85,640	74,552
Ráwalpindi Division.	...	3,64,000	2,67,444	9,755	18,580	15,935	21,440	6,97,154	500	97,710	8,554	1,06,754	6,65,355	74,955
Peshawar Division.	..	2,400	13,749	3,289	...	...	12,639	32,077	...	...	8,925	8,925	30,373	7,221
Deraját Division	...	18,000	...	460	...	5,419	15,063	38,962	23,716	...	37,284	61,000	31,385	53,423
	Grand Total ...	4,69,400	6,31,852	50,270	38,842	1,17,660	2,84,317	15,92,341	36,635	3,19,940	5,84,091	9,40,656	13,87,308	7,35,623

STATEMENT No. III.

INCOME OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT AT THE CLOSE OF THE YEAR 1892-93.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate.	Cash balance at the close of last year.	Income of the year.													Total.
				Receipts from Estate loans or loans from Court of Wards' Estates.	Receipts from loans other than those mentioned in column 5.	Debts recovered.	Takávi advances recovered.	Jágír income.	Rental of revenue paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year. Paid in cash.	Rental of revenue paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year. Paid in kind.	Rental of revenue free land including collection of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year. Paid in cash.	Rental of revenue free land including collection of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year. Paid in kind.	Interest on Government securities.	Sale proceeds of land and houses.	Sale proceeds of Government and other securities.	Miscellaneous income including interest on loans.	
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Hisár	1	Estate of Kamar-ud-dín Khan	8,748	...	...	...	...	...	188	134	...	...	...	...	...	238	560
	2	Do. Ruku-ud-dín Khan ...	299	...	...	...	...	...	5,982	3,060	...	...	...	...	...	428	9,470
		Total Hisár District ...	9,047	...	...	...	...	...	6,170	3,194	...	...	...	...	...	666	10,030
Gurgáon	3	Farrukhnagar and Siwári ...	2,004	...	...	...	...	...	507	...	8,380	...	...	...	...	1,776	10,663
Karnál	4	Kunjpura	28,374	...	...	7,219	200	30,608	17,731	...	...	...	1,838	20	...	12,065	69,681
	5	Labkári	279	...	...	...	...	3,037	87	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,128
	6	Saga	2,026	...	...	...	...	1,475	55	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,538
		Total Karnál District ...	30,679	...	...	7,219	200	35,120	17,873	12	...	...	1,838	20	...	12,065	74,347
Umballa	7	Manauli	20,632	...	...	5,216	...	38,895	6,311	571	...	...	...	...	...	25,456	76,449
	8	Kandhaula (Estate of Sirdár Harbans Singh).	6,481	...	...	9,950	...	4,187	546	11	...	...	..	...	...	1,603	16,297
	9	Shahabad	2,893	...	...	278	...	2,996	741	108	...	...	...	...	75	1,348	5,546
	10	Jharaul	...	...	...	...	...	691	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		691

	11	Kandhaula (Estate of Sirdár Bhola Singh).	2,761	...	...	...	...	3,209	380	139	...	...	...	...	...	272	4,000
	12	Raipur	263	...	...	...	...	3,635	1,210	4,934	62	1,500	...	...	...	892	12,233
	13	Buria	4,590	...	...	...	...	40,741	3,599	2,305	...	...	...	36,952	...	4,009	87,606
	14	Pabhat	...	...	...	...	...	3,824	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,824
	15	Sardaheri	1,237	...	...	...	...	2,192	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,192
	16	Mahmúdpur	84	...	...	...	...	859	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	12	871
	17	Dhandálru	...	...	...	...	...	2,245	...	110	...	...	...	...	...	50	2,405
		Total Umballa District ...	38,941	...	...	15,444	...	1,03,474	12,787	8,178	62	1,500	...	36,952	75	33,642	2,12,114
		Total Delhi Division ...	80,671	...	...	22,663	200	1,38,594	37,337	11,384	8,442	1,500	1,838	36,972	75	48,149	3,07,154
Kángra	18	Baldhar	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	128	...	...	...	...	...	...	128
	19	Lambágraon	202	...	...	...	...	1,953	...	22	...	...	...	...	...	57	2,032
	20	Bijápur	1,934	...	..	...	...	2,327	...	17	...	...	26	...	...	...	2,370
		Total Kángra District ...	2,136	...	...	...	...	4,280	...	167	...	...	26	...	...	57	4,530
Hoshiárpur ...	21	Háriána	435	...	...	...	...	...	1,001	...	...	...	...	...	...	35	1,036
	22	Bhabhaur	1,339	...	...	...	...	888	1,498	4	...	...	...	...	...	845	3,235
	23	Kathgarh	7,397	...	...	51	...	853	2,050	34	8,526	142	...	...	...	1,899	13,555
		Total Hoshiárpur District ...	9,171	...	...	51	...	1,741	4,549	38	8,526	142	...	...	...	2,779	17,826
Jullundur	24	Estate of Sirdár Partáp Singh and Kanwar Daljít Singh.	5,700	...	...	...	1,006	...	51,004	3,556	...	...	...	...	...	43,838	99,404
	25	Estate of Guru Nau Nihal Singh of Kartárpur.	27,020	...	...	...	...	11,563	13,462	686	475	150	...	...	...	14,957	41,293
		Total Jullundur District ...	32,720	...	...	...	1,006	11,563	64,466	4,242	475	150	...	...	...	58,795	1,40,697
Ludhiána	26	Bagarián	3,884	...	...	350	...	10,842	9,803	197	337	...	...	...	51	287	21,867
	27	Estate of Mussammát Premi, widow of Bishambar Dás, Banker.	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,077	367	...	...	...	12,653	...	582	14,679
		Total Ludhiána District ...	3,884	...	...	350	...	10,842	10,880	564	337	...	...	12,653	51	869	36,546

STATEMENT No. III—*continued.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
				Income of the year.													
District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate.	Cash balance at the close of last year.	Receipts from Estate loans or loans from Court of Wards Estates.	Receipts from loans other than those mentioned in column 5.	Débts recovered.	Takávi advances recovered.	Jágír income.	*Rental of revenue paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.* Paid in cash.	*Rental of revenue paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.* Paid in kind.	*Rental of revenue free land including collection of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.* Paid in cash.	*Rental of revenue free land including collection of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.* Paid in kind.	Interest on Government securities.	Sale proceeds of land and houses.	Sale proceeds of Government and other securities.	Miscellaneous income including interest on loans.	Total.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ferozepore ...	28	Mamdot	275	...	...	2,012	...	1,15,555	1,20,539	112	...	...	...	...	...	97,864	3,36,082
	29	Buttar	4,113	...	...	20	...	417	119	...	4,328	...	...	...	...	1,460	6,344
	30	Dharam Singhwála	91	10,000	...	...	...	1,232	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	181	11,413
		Total Ferozepore District ...	4,479	10,000	...	2,032	...	1,17,204	1,20,658	112	4,328	...	...	...	..	99,505	3,53,839
		Total Jullundur Division ...	52,390	10,000	...	2,433	1,006	1,45,630	2,00,553	5,123	13,666	292	26	12,653	51	1,62,005	5,53,438
Mooltan	31	Kabírpur	5,422	...	...	...	...	...	2,392	...	...	...	...	...	...	107	2,499
	32	Estate of Sayads Hámid Shah, Fateh Shah and Mussammát Daulat Bibi.	63,350	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,064	...	...	...	4,16,000	...	...	4,17,064
		Total Mooltan District ...	68,772	...	...	...	...	...	2,392	1,064	...	..	...	4,16,000	...	107	4,19,563
Jhang	33	Shah Jiona	8,392	...	...	...	...	...	5,651	3,482	...	...	180	...	...	1,477	10,790
	34	Hasen Balel	7,741	...	...	43	117	...	...	4,403	...	...	...	...	...	447	5,010
	35	Badh Rajbana	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	255	...	...	...	...	...	1,233	1,491
	36	Jaiwan	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	373	...	...	...	...	...	653	1,026
	37	Estate of Muhammad Kabír Khan Siál.	...	...	...	...	21	...	...	3,099	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,120
		Total Jhang District ...	16,133	3	...	43	138	...	5,651	11,612	...	...	180	...	...	3,810	21,437

Montgomery ...	38	Estate of Muhammad Khâliq Dâd Khan, Muhammad Yâsin Khan and Muhammad Azím Khan.	14,920	...	...	...	3.315	...	...	808	...	7,023	...	...	...	1,426	12,572
Lahore	39	Estate of Anant Rám ...	4,205	...	...	380	...	...	3,031	503	...	...	...	...	...	296	4,210
	40	Estate of Ghulám Moh-ud-dín	2,554	...	...	...	...	4,800	517	...	...	...	234	...	1,071	...	6,622
		Total Lahore District ...	6,759	..	...	380	..	4,800	3,548	503	...	...	234	...	1,071	296	10,832
Amritsar	41	Atári	6,774	...	...	4,401	...	10,775	2,564	2,427	2,430	...	...	...	...	8,231	30,828
	42	Estate of Mián Ghulám Sadíq	16,252	...	...	238	...	...	7,318	...	...	...	935	...	...	3,211	11,702
	43	Majítha	155	...	...	...	...	738	1,194	13	...	...	...	350	...	559	2.854
		Total Amritsar District ...	23,181	...	...	4,639	...	11,513	11,076	2,440	2,430	...	935	350	...	12,001	45,384
Gurdáspur	44	Fatehgarh	3,697	...	...	49	...	...	5,497	142	...	...	...	2,930	...	2,351	10,969
		Total Lahore Division ...	1,33,462	3	...	5,111	3,453	16,313	28,164	16,569	2,430	7,023	1,349	4.19,280	1,071	19.991	5.20,757
Siálkot ...	45	Kalolwála	3.909	...	...	...	...	...	348	1,623	...	10	...	...	...	3,370	5,351
Gujránwála ...	46	Estate of Sirdár Mangal Singh,	3.109	...	..	...	...	250	7,205	3,100	411	...	...	...	...	230	11,196
Shahpur	47	Kalra	82,302	...	...	15,775	...	...	...	13,036	...	...	14,144	...	...	39 122	82,077
	48	Mitha Tiwána	2,330	...	...	533	900	6,794	172	4,242	...	...	..	...	...	5,165	17,806
	49	Uchhali	34	1,571	...	..	....	215	...	2,691	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,477
	50	Katha	1	100	...	...	...	...	...	1,868	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,968
		Total Shahpur District ...	84,667	1,671	...	16,308	900	7,009	172	21,837	...	...	14.144	...	...	44,287	1,06,328
Jhelum	51	Ghugh	570	...	...	...	...	75	189	5,081	...	...	...	...	...	113	5,458
	52	Dalwal	868	...	...	...	...	1,354	91	805	23	...	...	...	...	...	2,273
	53	Karauli	1,015	500	...	...	...	284	...	3,071	...	...	...	...	...	215	4,070
	54	Sáhuwál	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Total Jhelum District ...	2,453	500	...	...	...	1,713	280	8,957	23	...	...	...	...	328	11,501

STATEMENT No. III—*concluded.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
				Income of the year.													
District.	Serial No. of Estate.	Name of Estate.	Cash balance at the close of last year.	Receipts from Estate loans or loans from Court of Wards' Estates.	Receipts from loans other than those mentioned in column 5.	Debts recovered.	Takávi advances recovered.	Jágír income.	*Rental of revenue paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.* Paid in cash.	*Rental of revenue paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.* Paid in kind.	*Rental of revenue free land including collection of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.* Paid in cash.	*Rental of revenue free land including collection of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.* Paid in kind.	Interest on Government securities.	Sale proceeds of land and houses.	Sale proceeds of Government and other securities.	Miscellaneous income including interest on loans.	Total.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ráwalpindi ...	55	Makhad	3,929	...	...	...	...	6,176	79	3,916	...	...	...	3,480	...	1,837	15,488
		Total Ráwalpindi Division ..	97,967	2.171	...	16,308	900	15,148	8,084	39,433	434	10	14,144	3,480	...	50,052	1,50,164
Hazára	56	Bir	8,033	...	...	2,217	...	1,708	721	...	762	...	...	...	...	1,149	6,557
	57	Banda Pír Khan	946	...	...	67	...	...	600	...	...	...	88	...	...	27	782
		Total Hazára District ...	8,979	...	...	2,284	...	1,708	1,321	...	762	...	88	...	...	1,176	7,339
Pesháwar	58	Mardán	1,528	...	...	...	...	...	...	121	...	...	...	...	...	6,477	6,598
	59	Shabkadar	773	...	...	...	...	...	5,391	...	...	...	...	...	...	537	5,928
		Total Pesháwar District ...	2,301	...	...	...	...	...	5.391	121	...	...	...	...	...	7,014	12,526
		Total Pesháwar Division ...	11,280	...	...	2,284	...	1,708	6,712	121	762	...	88	...	...	8,190	19,865
Dera Ismail Khan ...	60	Estate of Nawáb Ata Muhammad Khan.	8,880	...	...	...	...	18,075	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	651	18,726
	61	Estate of Makhdúm Abdul Sattár Shah.	380	...	...	...	...	457	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	457
		Total Dera Ismail Khan District,	9,260	...	...	...	...	18,532	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	651	19.183

Dera Ghási Khan ...	62	Basti Malána (Estate of Abdulla and Faizulla).	1,144	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,266	...	...	...	...	...	68	2,334
	63	Basti Malána (Estate of Khairan).	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	849	...	...	...	...	...	...	849
		Total Dera Ghází Khan District.	1,156	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,115	...	...	...	...	...	68	3,183
Muzaffargarh ...	64	Sitpur	11,429	...	...	55	...	...	718	7,661	...	...	1,070	32	...	172	9,708
		Total Deraját Division ...	21,845	...	...	55	...	18,532	718	10,776	...	...	1,070	32	...	891	32,074

ABSTRACT.

Delhi Division...	80,671	...	...	22,663	200	1,38,594	37,337	11,384	8,442	1,500	1,838	36,972	75	48,149	3,07,154
Jullundur do.	52,390	10,000	...	2,433	1,006	1,45,630	2,00,553	5,123	13,666	292	26	12,653	51	1,62,005	5,53,438
Lahore do.	1,33,462	3	...	5,111	3,453	16,313	28,164	16,569	2,430	7,023	1,349	4,19,280	1,071	19,991	5,20,757
Ráwalpindi do.	97,967	2,171	...	16,308	900	15,148	8,084	39,433	434	10	14,144	3,480	...	50,052	1,50,164
Pesháwar do.	11,280	...	...	2,284	...	1,708	6,712	121	762	...	88	...	...	8,190	19,865
Deraját do.	21,845	...	...	55	...	18,532	718	10,776	...	...	1,070	32	...	891	32,074
GRAND TOTAL ...	3,97,615	12,174	...	48,854	5,559	3,35,925	2,81,568	83,406	25,734	8,825	18,515	4,72,417	1,197	2,89,278	15,83,452

STATEMENT No. IV.

EXPENDITURE OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT AT THE CLOSE OF THE YEAR 1892-93.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Division.	District.	Name of Estate.	Government revenue and cesses.	Government rate.	Cost of management.	Personal expenses.	Educational expenses.	Repayment of principal and interest on debts and loans.	Expenditure on works of improvement.	Purchase of Government securities.	Purchase of landed property and houses.	Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise.	Miscellaneous including law expenses, ordinary repairs and all expenses not shown under any of the previous heads.	Total expenditure.	Total income.	Balance. Surplus.	Balance. Deficit.	Percentage of cost of management to total of receipts in columns 7 to 14 and column 17 of Statement III.
	Hissár	Estate of Kamar-ud-dín Khan ...	1,691	28	170	880	...	6,000	...	...	...	...	496	9,265	560	...	8,705	30·4
		Do. of Rukun-ud-dín Khan ...	3,362	339	240	640	...	2,500	...	...	...	...	699	7,780	9,470	1,690	..	2·5
		Total Hissár District ...	5,053	367	410	1,520	...	8,500	...	...	...	...	1,195	17,045	10,030	1,690	8,705	...
	Gurgáon	Farrukhnagar and Siwári ...	2,848	750	519	804	...	7,500	...	...	...	...	93	12,514	10,663	...	1,851	4·8
	Karnál	Kunjpura	10,061	912	7,513	5,545	2,932	...	12,048	...	1,402	5,100	22,321	67,834	69,681	1,847	...	10·8
		Labkári	309	156	180	1,533	384	12	...	...	...	...	757	3,331	3,128	...	203	5·7
		Saga	201	77	60	420	...	...	...	...	...	...	107	865	1,538	673	...	3·8
		Total Karnál District ...	10,571	1,145	7,753	7,498	3,316	12	12,048	...	1,402	5,100	23,185	72,030	74,347	2,520	202	...
Delhi.	Umballa	Manauli	7,545	1,081	9,581	12,646	1,209	...	9,216	...	60	37,384	16,038	94,753	76,449	...	18,304	12·5
		Kandhaula (estate) of Sardár Harbans Singh.	337	269	1,010	1,867	437	...	1,090	...	...	8,894	2,192	16,105	16,297	192	...	6·2
		Shahabad	479	245	763	1,218	436	2,645	505	...	350	249	479	7,389	5,546	...	1,843	14·3
		Jharauli	95	35	64	216	...	150	...	...	...	...	2	562	691	129	...	9·2
		Kandhaula (estate of Sardár Bhola Singh).	254	193	473	833	469	2,412	4	...	...	...	430	5,068	4,000	...	1,068	11·8
		Ráipur	2,998	545	2,057	2,557	1,665	...	871	...	...	...	893	11,588	12,233	645	...	16·8
		Búriya	8,148	1,376	4,294	13,898	...	46,214	5,038	...	...	...	6,125	85,093	87,606	2,513	...	8·4
		Pabhat	78	155	165	500	...	2,575	...	...	...	...	125	953,5	3,824	226	...	4·3

	Sardáheri	298	110	27	850	...	1,800	...	...	...	...	71	3,156	2,192	...	964	1·2
	Mahmúdpur	235	43	17	...	...	659	...	...	...	...	2	956	871	...	65	1·9
	Dhandalru	258	118	45	480	...	1,000	9	...	...	...	36	1,946	2,405	459	...	1·8
	Total Umballa District ...	20,725	4,170	18,516	35,067	4,207	57,455	16,742	...	410	46,527	26,395	2,30,214	2,12,114	4,164	22,264	...
	Total Delhi Division ..	39,197	6,432	27,198	44,889	7,523	73,467	28,790	..	1,812	51,627	50,868	3,31,803	3,07,154	8,374	33,025	...
Kángra	Baldhar	45	...	...	40	...	43	...	...	...	..	...	128	128	...	...	...
	Lámbagráon	11	105	96	864	...	744	...	...	...	158	...	1,978	2,032	54	...	4·7
	Bijápur	...	108	394	867	60	...	...	500	...	210	233	2,372	2,370	...	2	16·6
	Total Kángra District ...	56	213	490	1,771	60	787	...	500	...	368	233	4,478	4,530	54	2	...
Hoshiárpur ...	Hariána	403	52	25	235	...	..	...	.	...	...	255	974	1,036	62	...	2·4
	Bhabhaur	475	161	276	600	...	1,670	..	...	...	...	107	3,289	3,235	...	54	8·5
	Kathgarh	1,619	386	1,687	1,800	..	...	3,779	...	1,500	...	3,982	14,753	13,555	...	1,195	12·4
	Total Hoshiárpur District ...	2,497	599	1,988	2,639	...	1,670	3,779	...	1,500	...	4,344	19,016	17,826	62	1,252	...
Jullundur ...	Estate of Sardár Partáb Singh and Kanwar Daljít Singh.	16,760	1,218	8,942	14,816	195	34,080	459	...	219	...	10,836	87,525	99,404	11,879	...	8·9
	Estate of Guru Nau Nihál Singh of Kartárpur.	5,027	651	3,443	1,219	309	...	769	...	...	10	6,726	18,154	41,293	23,139	...	8·3
	Total Jullundur District ...	21,787	1,869	12,385	16,035	504	34,080	1,228	...	219	10	17,562	1,05,679	1,40,697	35,018	...	...
Ludhiána ...	Bagarián	4,940	422	1,202	1,800	333	7,148	...	...	...	...	5,564	21,409	21,867	458	...	5·5
	Estate of Mussammát Premi, widow of Bishambar Dás, Banker.	431	108	...	760	...	13,294	...	...	...	...	86	14,679	14,679	..	...	...
	Total Ludhiána District ...	5,371	530	1,202	2,560	333	20,442	..	...	...	...	5,650	36,088	36,546	458	...	...
Ferozepore ...	Mamdot	89,962	2,664	24,782	2,450	...	50,270	73,440	...	25,505	3,789	53,645	3,26,507	3,36,082	9,575	...	7·4
	Buttar	1,091	388	787	1,820	988	...	...	...	1,972	...	757	7,803	6,344	...	1,459	12·4
	Dharmsinghwála	...	94	74	206	...	10,050	...	...	...	...	83	10,507	11,413	906	...	0·6
	Total Ferozepore District ...	91,053	3,146	25,643	4,476	988	60,320	73,440	...	27,477	3,789	54,485	3,44,817	3,53,839	10,481	1,459	...
	Total Jullundur Division ...	1,20,764	6,357	41,708	27,481	1,885	1,17,299	78,447	500	29,196	4,167	82,274	5,10,078	5,53,438	46,073	2,713	...

STATEMENT No. IV—*continued.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Division.	District.	Name of Estate.	Government revenue and cesses.	Government rate.	Cost of management.	Personal expenses.	Educational expenses.	Repayment of principal and interest on debts and loans.	Expenditure on works of improvement.	Purchase of Government securities.	Purchase of landed property and houses.	Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise.	Miscellaneous including law expenses, ordinary repairs and all expenses not shown under any of the previous heads.	Total expenditure.	Total income.	Balance. Surplus.	Balance. Deficit.	Percentage of cost of management to total of receipts in columns 7 to 14 and column 17 of Statement III.
Lahore.	Mooltan ...	Kabírpur	531	139	...	191	980	...	813	...	...	...	79	2,733	2,499	...	234	...
		Estate of Sayads Hámid Shah, Fateh Shah and Mussammát Daulat Bibi.	2,029	...	1,476	3,900	...	4,43,460	1,055	...	...	...	4,434	4,56,354	4,17,064	...	39,290	138·8
		Total Mooltan District ...	2,560	139	1,476	4,091	980	4,43,460	1,868	...	...	...	4,513	4,59,087	4,19,563	...	39,524	...
	Jhang ...	Shahjiona	2,753	...	601	3,319	2,523	493	200	...	7,859	...	600	18,348	10,790	...	7,558	5·5
		Hassu Balel	1,678	...	872	2,297	...	315	412	...	...	3	845	6,422	5,010	...	1,412	17·4
		Badh Rájbana	1,072	...	118	119	...	30	...	...	...	...	152	1,491	1,491	...	...	7·9
		Jaiwán	516	...	...	16	...	10	...	...	...	...	2	544	1,026	482	...	...
		Estate of Muhammad Kabír Khan, Siál.	...	...	...	703	...	226	...	...	...	...	...	929	3,120	2,191	...	...
		Total Jhang District ...	6,019	...	1,591	6,454	2,523	1,074	612	...	7,859	3	1,599	27,734	21,437	2,673	8,970	...
	Montgomery ...	Estate of Muhammad Khaliq Dád Khan, Muhammad Yásin Khan and Muhammad Azím Khan.	420	Exempt under F.C.'s No. 1503, dated 12th March 1888.	455	488	1,295	...	639	...	...	2,447	521	6,265	12,572	6,307	...	3·7
	Lahore ...	Estate of Anant Rám	876	209	330	925	...	...	...	...	..	17	1,366	3,723	4,210	487	...	7·8
		Do. of Ghulám Mohy-ud dín,	162	282	...	1,825	1,761	...	...	...	1,770	...	2,099	7,899	6,622	...	1,277	...
		Total Lahore District ...	1,038	491	330	2,750	1,761	...	...	...	1,770	17	3,465	11,622	10,832	487	1,277	...

	Amritsar	Atári	2,722	448	2,527	13,834	1,294	...	...	...	...	...	8,922	29,747	30,828	1,081	...	8·2
		Estate of Mián Ghulám Sadiq ...	2,022	367	960	...	...	...	...	..	220	13,400	2,962	19,931	11,702	...	8,229	8·2
		Majitha	848	143	415	330	299	315	...	...	...	..	582	2 932	2,854	...	78	16·5
		Total Amritsar District ...	5,592	958	3,902	14,164	1,593	315	...	...	220	13,400	12,466	52,610	45,384	1,081	8,307	...
	Gurdáspur	Fatehgarh	2,049	298	1,182	1,757	460	...	...	...	135	...	2,128	8,009	10,969	2,960	...	14·7
		Total Lahore Division ...	17,678	1,886	8,936	29,704	8,612	4,44,849	3,119	...	9,984	15.867	24,692	5,65.327	5,20,757	13,508	58,078	...
RAWALPINDI.	Siálkot	Kaloiwála	853	99	913	1,119	266	110	...	..	...	...	3,001	6.361	5.351	..	1,010	17·1
	Gujránwála	Estate of Sardár Mangal Singh ..	4.113	366	991	1,237	...	2,894	...	...	...	...	3,238	12,839	11.196	...	1,643	8 8
	Shahpur	Kalra	14,279	2,373	16,944	19,973	11,959	...	33,311	...	2,600	22,663	45.332	1,69,434	82,077	...	87,357	20·6
		Mitha Tiwana	6,420	391	3,835	1,650	9	2,500	2,076	...	...	...	1,205	18,086	17,806	...	280	21·5
		Uchháli	1,975	285	57	895	...	1,106	50	...	...	...	...	4,368	4,477	109	...	1·9
		Katha	331	42	...	371	...	1,165	...	...	...	...	8	1.917	1,968	51	..	...
		Total Shahpur District ...	23,005	3,091	20,836	22,889	11,968	4,771	35,437	...	2,600	22,663	46,545	1,93,805	1,06,328	160	87,637	...
	Jhelum	Ghugh	936	276	...	519	...	1,797	...	...	...	...	38	3,566	5,458	1,892	...	...
		Dalwal	176	109	61	1,390	...	714	...	...	...	...	12	2,462	2,273	...	189	2·6
		Karauli	1,434	182	26	690	90	946	511	...	...	...	136	4,015	4,070	55	...	0·6
		Sáúwal	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
		Total Jhelum District	2,546	567	87	2,599	90	3,457	511	...	...	...	186	10,043	11,801	1,947	189	..
	Ráwalpindi	Makhad	884	...	1,428	3,269	630	2,072	...	...	...	...	415	8,698	15,488	6,790	...	...
		Total Ráwalpindi Division ...	31,401	4,123	24,255	31,113	12,954	13,304	35,948	...	2,600	22,663	53,385	2,31,746	1.50,164	8,897	90,479	...
PESHAWAR	Hazára	Bír	802	217	204	2,822	1,133	...	...	...	...	...	483	5,661	6,557	896	...	3·1
		Banda Pír Khan	...	44	...	460	184	...	...	...	...	...	86	774	782	8	...	...
		Total Hazára District	802	261	204	3,282	1,317	...	...	...	...	...	569	6,435	7,339	904	...	...
	Pesháwar	Mardán	413	512	324	3,584	340	...	...	..	...	...	695	5,868	6,598	730	...	4·9
		Shabkadar	1,946	269	120	1,693	...	2,095	...	...	...	...	80	6,203	5,928	...	275	2·0
		Total Pesháwar District ...	2,359	781	444	5,277	340	2,095	...	...	...	...	775	12,071	12,526	730	275	...
		Total Pesháwar Division ...	3,161	1,042	648	8,559	1,657	2,095	...	...	...	...	1,344	18,506	19.865	1,634	275	...

STATEMENT No. IV—*concluded.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Division.	District.	Name of Estate.	Government revenue and cesses.	Government rate.	Cost of management.	Personal expenses.	Educational expenses.	Repayment of principal and interest on debts and loans.	Expenditure on works of improvement.	Purchase of Government securities.	Purchase of landed property and houses.	Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise.	Miscellaneous including law expenses, ordinary repairs and all expenses not shown under any of the previous heads.	Total expenditure.	Total income.	Balance. Surplus.	Balance. Deficit.	Percentage of cost of management to total of receipts in columns 7 to 14 and column 17 of Statement III.
Derajat.	Dera Ismail Khan ...	Estate of Náwáb Ata Muhammad Khan	...	449	132	9,029	...	11.822	...	...	...	...	184	21,616	18,726	...	2,890	0·7
		Estate of Makhdúm Abdul Sattár Shah.	...	...	12	...	...	332	...	...	...	...	47	391	457	66	...	2·6
		Total Dera Ismail Khan District...	...	449	144	9,029	...	12,154	...	...	...	...	231	22,007	19,183	66	2,890	..
	Dera Ghází Khan ...	Basti Malána (estate of Abdulla and Faizulla).	470	117	192	300	...	1,010	...	...	...	...	859	2,948	2,334	...	614	8·2
		Basti Malána (estate of Khairan)	151	42	78	60	...	292	...	...	...	...	237	860	849	...	11	9·2
		Total Dera Ghází Khan District,	621	159	270	360	...	1,302	...	...	...	...	1,096	3,808	3,183	...	625	...
	Muzaffargarh	Siłpur (including Dera Ghází Khan and Baháwalpore portions).	2,830	394	1,497	1,851	720	...	5,157	...	...	...	573	13,022	9,708	...	3,314	15·4
		Total Deraját Division ...	3,451	1,002	1,911	11,240	720	13,456	5,157	...	...	...	1,900	38,837	32,074	66	6,829	...
			ABSTRACT.															
		Delhi Division	39,197	6,432	27,198	44,889	7,523	73,467	28.790	...	1,812	51,627	50,868	3,31,803	3,07,154	8,374	33,023	
		Jullundur do.	1,20,764	6,357	41,708	27,481	1,885	1,17,299	78.447	500	29,196	4,167	82,274	5,10,078	5,53,438	46,073	2,713	
		Lahore do.	17,678	1,886	8,936	29,704	8,612	4,44,849	3,119	...	9,984	15,867	24,692	5,65,327	5,20,757	13,508	58,078	
		Ráwalpindi do	31,401	4,123	24,255	31,113	12,954	13,304	35,948	...	2.600	22,663	53,385	2,31,746	1,50,164	8,897	90,479	
		Peshawar do.	3,161	1,042	648	8,559	1,657	2,095	...	...	...	...	1,844	18,506	19,865	1,634	275	
		Deraját do.	3,451	1,002	1,911	11,240	720	13,456	5,157	...	...	...	1,900	38,837	32,074	66	6,829	
		Grand Total	2,15,652	20,842	1,04,656	1,52,986	33,351	6.64,470	1,51,461	500	43,592	94,324	2,14,463	16,96,297	15,83,452	78,552	1,91,397	

STATEMENT No. V.

DEBTS PAID OFF FROM DATE OF SUPERINTENDENCE TO CLOSE OF THE REVENUE YEAR 1892-93.

STATEMENT No. V.

DEBTS PAID OFF FROM DATE OF SUPERINTENDENCE TO CLOSE OF THE REVENUE YEAR 1892-93.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of Estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Debts due when superintendence was first assumed.	Incurred after superintendence was assumed.	Debts cleared off: By incurring other debts or by sale of property.	Debts cleared off: From cash balance in hand or otherwise.	Total.	Balance.
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Delhi	Hissár	1	Estate of Kamar-ud-dín Khan	1st April 1886 ...	55,715	...	37,499	10,413	47,912	7,803
		2	Estate of Rukn-ud-dín Khan	Do.	62,001	4,000	26,314	21,105	47,419	18,582
			Total Hissar District ...	...	1,17,716	4,000	63,813	31,518	95,331	26,385
	Gurgáon	3	Farrukhnagar and Siwari	1st September 1886 ...	49,766	71,115	1,790	78,394	80,184	40,697
	Karnál	4	Kunjpura	15th March 1886 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		5	Labkari	11th November 1890 ...	11,182	...	...	5,356	5,356	5,826
		6	Saga	7th June 1890 ...	3,903	...	...	3,903	3,903	...
			Total Karnál District ...		15,085	...	...	9,259	9,259	5,826
	Umballa	7	Manauli	23rd January 1878 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		8	Kandhaula (Estate of Sardár Harbans Singh).	10th January 1880 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		9	Shahabad	29th September 1880 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		10	Jharauli	25th September 1883 ..	7,039	...	...	1,594	1,594	5,445
		11	Kandhaula (Estate of Sardár Bhola Singh)	29th October 1889 ...	6,653	6,700	5,353	5,248	10,601	2,752
		12	Ráipur	7th April 1890	20,404	...	6,000	4,664	10,664	9,740
		13	Buria	20th June 1890	2,12,197	1,70,000	1,70,000	31,566	2,01,566	1,80,631

		14	Pabhat	28th March 1891 ...	44,874	..	...	2,575	2,575	42,299
		15	Sardaheri	8th September 1891 ...	8,825	4,000	4,000	2,000	6,000	6,825
		16	Mahmúdpur	8th September 1891 ...	4,365	3,775	3,776	589	4,365	3,775
		17	Dhandalra	October 1892	6,414	...	...	1,000	1,000	5,414
			Total Amballa District ...	...	3,10,771	1,84,475	1,89,129	49,236	2,38,365	2,56,881
			Total Delhi Division ...	...	4,93,338	2,59,590	2,54,732	1,68,407	4,23,139	3,29,789
		18	Baldhar	16th January 1884 ...	647	..	...	124	124	523
JULLUNDUR	Kángra	19	Lambágráon	4th April 1888	21,323	...	...	8,138	8,138	13,185
		20	Bíjapur	June 1887	491	...	...	491	491	...
			Total Kángra District ...	...	22,461	...	...	8,752	8,753	13,708
		21	Hariána	26th February 1884 ...	300	...	...	300	300	...
	Hoshiàrpur	22	Bhabhaur	9th December 1886 ...	97,882	15,000	15,000	5,428	20,428	92,454
		23	Katgarh	10th September 1891 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
			Total Hoshiárpur District ...	..	98,182	15,000	15,000	5,725	20,728	92,454
		24	Estate of Sardár Partáp Singh and Kanwar Daljít Singh.	6th January 1888 ...	1,80,185	...	...	1,11,172	1,11,172	69,013
	Jullundur	25	Estate of Gurú Náu Nihál Singh of Kartárpur.	13th July 1882 ...	1,49,899	...	...	1,49,899	1,49,899	...
			Total Jullundur District ...	...	3,30,084	...	..	2,61,071	2,61,071	69,013
		26	Bagárián	8th July 1889	35,365	14,166	...	29,531	29,531	20,000
	Ludhiána	27	Estate of Mussammát Premi, widow of Bishambar Dás, Banker.	13th December 1887 ...	23,797	10,645	28,988	...	28,988	5,454
			Total Ludhiána District ...	...	59,162	24,811	28,988	29,531	58,519	25,454
		28	Mamdot	21st January 1891 ...	3,25,418	...	...	2,04,418	2,04,418	1,21,000
	Ferozepore	29	Bultar	28th November 1890 ...	6,126	...	...	6,126	6,126	...
		30	Dharam Singhwala	26th June 1891 ...	11,650	10,000	10,050	...	10,050	11,600
			Total Ferozepore District ...	...	3,43,194	10,000	10,050	2,10,544	2,20,594	1,32,600
			Total Jullundur Division ...	...	8,53,083	49,811	54,038	5,15,627	5,69,665	3,33,229

STATEMENT No. V.—*continued.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Division.	District.	Serial number of Estate.	Name of Estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Debts due when superintendence was first assumed.	Incurred after superintendence was assumed.	Debts cleared off: By incurring other debts or by sale of property.	Debts cleared off: From cash balance in hand or otherwise.	Total	Balance.
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Lahore	Mooltan	31	Kabirpur	23rd September 1884 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		32	Estate of Sardár Hamíd Shah, Fatteh Shah and Mussammat Daulat Bíbi.	19th May 1891 ...	5,04,750	...	4,43,460	...	4,43,460	61,290
			Total Mooltan District ...	...	5,04,750	...	4,43,460	...	4,43,460	61,290
	Jhang	33	Shah Jiona	April 1889	2,471	493	...	2,764	2,764	200
		34	Hassun Balel	April 1892	315	...	...	315	315	...
		35	Badh Rájbána	March 1893	5,086	3	30	..	30	5,059
		36	Jaiwan	June 1893	2,093	...	...	...	...	2,093
		37	Estate of Muhammad Kabír Khan, Siál ..	August 1893	30,293	...	...	226	226	30,067
			Total Jhang District ...	...	40,258	96	30	3,305	3,335	37,419
	Montgomery	38	Estate of Muhammad Kháliq Dád Khan, Muhammad Yásín Khan, Muhammad Azim Khan.	16th December 1884 ...	4,561	...	...	4,561	4,561	...
	Lahore	39	Estate of Anant Rám	15th June 1891 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		40	Estate of Ghulám Mohay-ud-dín	November 1883 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Amritsar	41	Atári	2nd August 1888 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		42	Estate of Muhammad Ghulám Sadíq ...	8th October 1889 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		43	Majítha	15th August 1891 ...	4,742	550	...	3,042	3,042	2,250
			Total Amritsar District ...	...	4,742	550	...	3,042	3,042	2,250

	Gurdáspur	44	Fatehgarh	November 1884 ...		...	...	...	...	..
			Total Lahore Division	..	5,54,311	1,046	4,43,490	10.908	4.54,398	1.00,959
Rawalpindi ..	Siálkot	45	Kalolwála	7th April 1887 ...	7,367	310	1,478	6,199	7,677	...
	Gujránwála	46	Estate of Sardár Mangal Singh ...	November 1888 ...	21.592	...	4,646	9,710	14,356	7,236
	Shahpur	47	Kalra	March 1879 ...	...	...	...	..		..
		48	Mitha Tiwána	1st October 1890 ...	30,000	...	...	5,290	5,290	24,710
		49	Uchhali	May 1888 ...	6,397	5,434	...	3,545	3,545	8,286
		50	Kátha	5th October 1887 ...	8,591	318	...	2,172	2,172	6,737
			Total Shahpur District ...	...	44,988	5,752	.	11,007	11,007	39,733
	Jhelum	51	Ghugh	1st April 1889	17,777	16,080	16,000	1,564	17,564	16,293
		52	Dalwal	1st November 1889 ...	11,543	12,000	12,000	543	12,543	11,000
		53	Karaúli	April 1891	36.070	32,500	32,000	4,093	36,093	32,477
		54	Sánwal	1st September 1893 ..	...	...	...	.		...
			Total Jhelum District ...	...	65,390	60 580	60,000	6 200	66,200	59,770
	Ráwalpindi	55	Makhad	1st December 1890 ...	2,553	...	...	2,538	2,538	15
			Total Ráwalpindi Division ...	...	1,41,890	66,642	66,124	35,654	1,01,778	1,06 754
Peshawar	Hazára	56	Bír	March 1875	...	335	..	335	335	..
		57	Banda Pír Khan	1st July 1886	.	...	...	..	...	...
			Total Hazára District ...	...	..	335	.	335	335	...
	Pesháwar	58	Mardán	April 1882	5 228	...	...	4,022	4,022	1,206
		59	Shabkadar	17th March 1889 ...	12,161	...	..	4,442	4,442	7,719
			Total Pesháwar District ...	...	17,389	...	...	8,464	8,464	8,925

STATEMENT No. V—*concluded.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Division.	District.	Serial number of Estate.	Name of Estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Debts due when superintendence was first assumed.	Incurred after superintendence was assumed.	Debts cleared off: By incurring other debts or by sale of property.	Debts cleared off: From cash balance in hand or otherwise.	Total.	Balance.
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.
Peshawar—*concluded.*		...	Total Pesháwar Division ...	...	17,389	335	...	8,799	8,799	8,925
Derajat	Dera Ismail Khan ...	60	Estate of Nawáb Atta Múhammad Khan	6th November 1888 ...	54,495	...	...	27,015	27,015	27,480
		61	Estate of Makhdúm Abdul Sitár Shah ...	22nd November 1884 ...	28,785	...	...	18,432	18,432	10,353
			Total Dera Ismail Khan District ...	...	83,280	...	...	45,447	45,447	37,833
	Dera Ghází Khan	62	Basti Malána (Estate of Abdulla and Faizulla).	11th April 1889 ...	9,098	...	...	3,640	3,640	5,458
		63	Basti Malána (Estate of Khairan) ...	26th November 1889 ...	18,047	...	...	338	338	17,709
			Total Dera Ghází Khan District ...	...	27,145	...	...	3,978	3,978	23,167
	Muzaffargarh	64	Sitpur	28th October 1881 ...	605	...	...	605	605	
			Total Deraját Division ...	...	1,11,030	...	...	50,030	50,030	61,000
			ABSTRACT.							
			Delhi Division		4,93,338	2,59,590	2,54,732	1,68,407	4,23,139	3,29,789
			Jullundur „		8,53,083	49,811	54,038	5,15,627	5,69,665	3,33,229
			Lahore „		5,54,311	1,046	4,43,490	10,908	4,54,398	1,00,959
			Ráwalpindi „		1,41,890	66,642	66,124	35,654	1,01,778	1,06,754
			Pesháwar „		17,389	335		8,799	8,799	8,925
			Deraját „		1,11,030	...	...	50,030	50,030	61,000
			Grand Total		21,71,041	3,77,424	8,18,384	7,89,425	16,07,809	9,40,656